Submission			Wants to	Joint Hearing				
#	Sub Point #	Submitter Name	Heard Y/N	Y/N	Support/Oppose/Neutral	Topic	Relief Sought	Reasons for Submission
64	8	Aaron McConchie	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	High density proposed not in keeping with the surrounding amenity values and does not attempt to fit in.
64	6	Aaron McConchie	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally proposed.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose plan change as inadequate recreation space, no large open
64	5	Aaron McConchie	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	spaces for increase in residents.
64	3	Aaron McConchie	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap on number of sites , sites are too small to accommodate rain water harvest and open space. Should be increased to 500m2.
64	4	Aaron McConchie	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose the discharge of stormwater to the estuary, general swale drains not adequate. Concerned about lack of mitigation e.g. retention ponds.
	·							Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further assessment needed, if
			L.	.,				development will result in near capacity - developer should be
64	1	Aaron McConchie	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	with additional information.	required to fund.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further hydrological assessment required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer . Roof water harvesting should be
64	2	Aaron McConchie	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	mandated. Need solid policies re wate storage.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Further technical information required and needs to consider
64		Aaron McConchie	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Whole plan change	with additional information.	accumulative effects.
142	7	Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about lack of school capacity.
142	5	Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about discharge into harbour, impact on wildlife including shore birds.
142	4	Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Natural Hazards	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about flooding. Area is low lying 3m leaves little scope for combined events (high tide/high rainfall) - further research required.
142	6	Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about lack of access to Gum diggers track.
								Number of houses is too high, concerned with size of sections, must
142		Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	have room for water tanks.
142	2	Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Wastewater system is inadequate and lacking maintenance.
142	1	Abby Meagher	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Water collection provisions inadequate.
201	1	Adam Gaston	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Don't do it. It'll be a financial flop.
								Does not feel consultation was adequate, that the community lack of turn out to any consultation was due to it not being properly
38	3	Adam Minoprio	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	advertised.
38	2	Adam Minoprio	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Opposes the loss of green space.
38	1	Adam Minoprio	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about the increase in houses and the pollution impact on the estuary.
38		Adam Minoprio	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Community supports the original plan.
36	4	radin Williophio	1.4	1	Ohhose	Willow Flatt Change	Applicant should provide own independent treatment	Opposes connection to wastewater system due to already stretched
				1			facility for the development. If not feasible, council to	capacity. Number of connections have not been stated and value of
77	1	Alan & Maureen Hunt	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	obtain report on condition lifespan of current system.	discharge not quantified, further information and consultation with
164	n	Alan Preston	v	v	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	- :
104	9	Alan Freston	+'	ľ	Ohhose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Needs to be provision for education facilities given the significant
164	10	Alan Preston	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Community facilities	with additional information.	increase in population the proposal will result in.
164	7	Alan Preston	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally proposed.

		I	ı	1	I	T	T	
164	4	Alan Preston	Y	Y	Oppose	Natural Hazards		Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
164	6	Alan Preston	Υ	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing fields or courts.
164	3	Alan Preston	Y	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, 350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
164	5	Alan Preston	Y	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour, considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
164		Alan Preston	Y	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater		supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail renumber of connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater treatment.
164		Alan Preston	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply		Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
164	8	Alan Preston	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
165	3	Alex and Linley Galbraith	n	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	High density will ruin the character of Mangawhai. Density is better than spawl but the application understate the effect on character, natural features of the land.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
165		Alex and Linley Galbraith Alex and Linley Galbraith	n	Y	Oppose Oppose	Infrastructure (General) Natural Hazards	with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	proposed. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
			"				Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the number of residential lots, maximum number is not stated 16.8.2.2 currently sets the limit at 5000, 1000 dwellings ais too many in a concentrated area. Minimum size of 350m2 is too
165		Alex and Linley Galbraith	n	1	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	small. Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
165	6	Alex and Linley Galbraith	n	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
165	1	Alex and Linley Galbraith	n	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Figures provided do not take into account drought, existing users and extended high use. Further information including hydrological assessment required.
5	6	Alex Flavell-Johnson	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about impacts of residential pests such as cats and dogs on sensitive wildlife around the estuary and wider landscape.
5		Alex Flavell-Johnson	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Opposed to any loss of green space, especially the queens chain. Public reserves are important. Strongly opposed to additional residential housing, especially small
5	1	Alex Flavell-Johnson	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	section size and high density. Unmitgatable adverse effects on the character of Mangawhai.
5	3	Alex Flavell-Johnson	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned regarding additional stormwater runoff into the harbour and any sediment pollution entering the estuary as a result of construction.

	1		1 1				0
_	5 Alex Flavell-Johnson	N	l _N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Oppose the use of the waste water treatment plant which could reduce its capacity to service the rest of Mangawhai.
э	2 VIEX LIGAGII.201112011	IN	IN	Ohhose	vvastewatei	became the plan change.	
_	2 Alex Flavell-Johnson	N	l _N	Onnoco	Water Supply	Decline the plan change	Oppose extraction of groundwater resources from Mangawhai
5		IN N		Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	aquifers, especially with climate change.
50	1 Ali Ajodani	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Considers the proposed residential sections to be too small.
							Want to know what the impact on the wastewater system will be see
50	1 Ali Aiodani	l _N	N.	Onnoco	Wastowator	Confirmation of impact on recidents	Want to know what the impact on the wastewater system will be as
50	1 Ali Ajodani	IN	IN	Oppose	Wastewater	Confirmation of impact on residents.	a result of the ne residential dwellings.
						Council to address all issues so development is self sustained, protect the harbour and if the development	
						proceeds - for it to be sympathetic to the existing	Would like to see Gum diggers track re-opened, believes it was gifted
72	5 Alison Baird	N	l _N	Onnose	Open / Green Space	environment.	to the community and is part of queens chain.
12	3 MISUII Ballu	IN	IN	Oppose	Open / Green space		
						Council to address all issues, protect the harbour and if	Concerned about lot sizes being reduced to 300m2, appeal for
72	1 Alican Paird	l _N	N	0,,,,,,	Posidontial Allatmant Si	the development proceeds - for it to be sympathetic to	Mangawhai is open space and green belts. Population increase Big
72	1 Alison Baird	IN	IN	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	the existing environment.	impact on community and environment.
						Council to address all issues, protect the harbour and if	Concerned about the lock of coakers and the bases in law and the
72	3 Alican Baird	l _N	l _N	0,,,,,,	Starmurator	the development proceeds - for it to be sympathetic to	Concerned about the lack of soakage and the 'massive' amount of
72	3 Alison Baird	IN	IN I	Oppose	Stormwater	the existing environment.	stormwater.
						Council to address all issues, protect the harbour and if	Concerned about impost an westewater system andtti-lt-
72	4 Alican Bain-	l _N	l _N	0	Wastowatas	the development proceeds - for it to be sympathetic to	Concerned about impact on wastewater system and potential costs
72	4 Alison Baird	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	the existing environment.	for ratepayers - developer should pay for own system.
						Council to address all issues, protect the harbour and if	Usani di barani
70	J.,	[•	l	the development proceeds - for it to be sympathetic to	Houses should be collecting rainwater, water should not be taken
72	2 Alison Baird	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	the existing environment.	from aquifer. Concerned about low water table.
							not in keeping with the District plan , policies, policy statements,
404		L		•			strategies, Regional Plans, Structure Plans or Engineering Standards
181	1 Alister Hamilton	Υ	У	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	of the District Plan.
							not in keeping with the District plan , policies, policy statements,
	.1			_			strategies, Regional Plans, Structure Plans or Engineering Standards
181	1 Alister Hamilton	Υ	У	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	of the District Plan.
1							
	1			_	l		greenspace and landscaping will not provide adequate amenity,
158	9 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	Pedestrian links to amenities and open spaces are insufficient.
							la constant de la con
							Increased density will have significant effect on facilities and result in
450				•			higher and faster transmission of diseases. Health facilities are all
158	4 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	ready under pressure.
							Already s shortage - reduction for residential land will limit future
450	alista Missau W	1.		•	In directal (C	Dealine the along decree	employment opportunities locally. Commercial land should not be
158	5 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	Decline the plan change.	reduced.
1							Concerned about the reduction of green space, recreational areas
							and landscaping. Proposed is not sufficient for the intended
							population. Oppose the replacement of green network overlap with
							a new natural environment subzone. Should still be structural
450				•			planting and use of large scale species to reinforce overall framework
158	8 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	of a Parkland Community.
				_	L		
158	2 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Parking	Decline the plan change.	Landscaping and short term parking is being compromised.
158	2 Alietas Kina Hamilta -			0,,,,,,	Residential Alletment Sizz	Decline the plan shange	Density is a significant increase and will have significant effects on
158	3 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Mangawhai.
	1 Alister Kim Hamilton			_	Traffic / Roading		Road scape amenity as provided in the DP and Structure pan is being
158				Oppose	Li rattic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	diminished.

	T		1	1			
							Existing system doesn't have capacity . The area covered by the
							structure plan should provide sufficient treatment. Each title should
							be required to pay the same connection fee to wastewater - no
450	C Alistor Kim Hamilton			0	Mastawatar	Decline the plan shange	exemption. Water quality od discharged wastewater should comply
158	6 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	with the National Policy Statement.
							Water supply is a critical issue, the existing proposal is not
							acceptable. The bore is insufficient to provide demand. The applicant
							should provide a reticulated supply that is sufficient throughout the
							year. Requiring sprinkles for residential buildings would reduce the
158	7 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	у	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	amount of water required to be set aside for fire fighting supply.
158	10 Alister Kim Hamilton	У	У	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Not in keeping with the District Plan Engineering Standards.
							Submitters property is located next to Lincoln Downs Councils
							Effluent Farm. Concerned about the impact extra wastewater
15	1 Allan Dowson	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	irrigation from new development will have on their property.
		L	l.,			Decline the plan change unless applicant pays for own	
67	1 Allanna Pendleton	Y	Y	Oppose	Ecology	water supply and wastewater disposal.	Concerned about pollution of the estuary.
						Decline the plan change unless applicant pays for own	Concerned about impact on wastewater system and potential costs
67	2 Allanna Pendleton	Y	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	water supply and wastewater disposal.	for ratepayers.
							Nothing proposed to improve the area, tax payers should not have to
							pay to service the subdivision, questions what happened to all the
						Decline the plan change unless applicant pays for own	nice features of the original plan. The developer bought the land
67	4 Allanna Pendleton	Y	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	water supply and wastewater disposal.	knowing the rules, and now want to do their own thing.
202	1 Alycia Chapman	N N	N N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Business can't cope with the mass influx of people.
	7					Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
112	9 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
112	7 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
112	A A reduced Break	.,		0	Note and House de		climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
112	4 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
112	6 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
112	6 Andrew Fadi		- 11	Оррозе	openy dicenspace		Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
112	3 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
				- P.P			
			1				
			1				
			1			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
112	5 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
				P.F			Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
			1				supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
			1				connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
			1			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
112	1 Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
							_ , , ,
			1			Dealing the plan shange and account the barrier in the	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
112	2 Andrew Paul	N	N	Onnoco	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
112	Z Andrew Paul	IN	IN	Oppose	water supply	with additional information.	change on aquiter so effects on existing users can be understood.

				1	1		T	Г
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
112	8	Andrew Paul	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
						_		Developers promised consultation with the ratepayers for any
								altered plans, 'behind the scenes' deals have been made without
20	2	Andrew Rae	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	None stated.	consultation.
								Concerned in regards to the impact 1700 houses will have on the
20	1	Andrew Rae	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	wastewater facilities, and how this will effect ratepayers.
								The proposal does not preserve the rural village character of
159	1	Anne Hollier	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	Mangawhai as discussed.
159	5	Anne Hollier	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	existing natives and wetlands should be protected.
								Oppose the change to policy 16.3.6.1 as provides for 1000 units or
								more, will present infrastructure issues particularly over summer.
								Oppose change to 16.8.2.2 350m2 and 500m2 are too small for
159	2	Anne Hollier	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Mangawhai and not in character.
								oppose connection to council wastewater system. Recent
								information suggest it doesn't have capacity now, let alone with
159	4	Anne Hollier	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	additional 1000 dwellings. Applicant should provide own facility.
								Oppose use of aquifer, this should be amended to include mandatory
159	3	Anne Hollier	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	catchment of all roof water.
							Decline the plan change and require the applicant to	Potential for detrimental effect on existing infrastructure (including
47	4	Anne Robbins	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	reduce the number of residential allotments.	wastewater and emergency water supply).
							Decline the plan change and require the applicant to	
47	1	Anne Robbins	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	reduce the number of residential allotments.	Opposes the reduction in public spaces.
							Decline the plan change and require the applicant to	
47	2	Anne Robbins	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	reduce the number of residential allotments.	No minimum size of sections noted.
								Concerned about the impact of increased traffic on the existing
							Decline the plan change and require the applicant to	network and considers the increase not to have been appropriately
47		Anne Robbins	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Traffic / Roading	reduce the number of residential allotments.	mitigated.
124		Arnie & Yvette Leeder	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about loss of green space.
124		Arnie & Yvette Leeder	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	water runoff has not been appropriately addressed.
124		Arnie & Yvette Leeder	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	wastewater has not been appropriately addressed.
124	4	Arnie & Yvette Leeder	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Water supply has not been appropriately addressed.
1				1	1			The development will destroy the existing infrastructure of the
1								Village and the Heads and will have an enormous adverse
1				I	1			environmental effects. wastewater, water supply and water runoff
124	1	Arnie & Yvette Leeder	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	are issues that have not been addressed.
1								High density will effect the character of Magical Mangawhai and is
197	1	Barbara Pengelly	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	None stated.	not in keeping with the image of Mangawhai.
1				1				Concerned about the lack of sports facilities and open space.
197	2	Barbara Pengelly	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Waking/cycling track appears to lead to nowhere.
1				1	1			
				I	1			High density development not suitable for Mangawhai. Concerned
1				1				with increased housing as a result of the deletion of subzones 2, 4, 5
197	3	Barbara Pengelly	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	confirmation of number and size of residential allotments.	and 6. Concerned with restriction of lot size and effect on character.
1 7				_	1			Concerned about capacity of wastewater system and potential costs
				1			Assurance that any further costs will not come back to	to ratepayers, no evidence to suggest the scheme can support 1000
197	4	Barbara Pengelly	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	ratepayers and hydrological report.	new residential dwellings.

ı		T	1	1		ī		
197	5	Barbara Pengelly	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Oppose the reliance on the aquifer supplemented by rain water tanks storage. Concerned about emergency use of aquifer.
							A. Retain the fire safety emergency provisions as outlined in the body of the submission and: B Other consequential relief necessary to give effect to the matters raised in the	The proposed plan change and implementation of the Master Plan should take into account the operational requirements of Fire and Emergency, makes reference to specific provisions and to ensure when the site is developed there is adequate provision for fire
129	1	Beca Ltd	Y	Y	Neutral	Water Supply		fighting activities. Objects to new zoning that allows housing to a minimum size of 350m2 as not in keeping with character of the surrounding
2	1	Belinda Harman	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	environment.
2	3	Belinda Harman	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Do not allow stormwater run-off into the Tara Creek.	There are a range of beautiful birds that live in Tara creek which are endangered. Additional stormwater will affect water quality.
2	2	Belinda Harman	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater		Objects to the existing wastewater treatment plant being used for ar additional 1000 homes. Concerned about odour and capacity.
37		Belinda Tipene	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	None stated.
134	11	Belinda Vernon	Υ	N	Oppose	Amenity		APPENDIX 16.1: ESTUARY ESTATES DESIGN AND ENVIRONMENTAL GUIDELINES Provision of design and environmental guidelines is essential to ensure that the design of both residential and business dwellings is done in an appropriate way, PARTICULARLY where there is greater density and intensification as is the case in the Proposed Plan change. I SUPPORT some amendment to the original guidelines but OPPOSE the extent of the amendments proposed. I urge the incorporation of clear and enforceable design and environmental guidelines in Section 16 to enhance the integrity of development of this important area in the future.
134	6	Belinda Vernon	Y	N	Oppose	Community facilities		16.3.5 Deletion of Community Objective Existing clause: To create a community focal point in the Estuary Estates Structure Plan area. I OPPOSE deletion of the Community Objective. I SUPPORT amending the Community Objective so that it is less prescriptive but still expresses a community purpose. The principle of encouraging a community focal point, to be part of a range of community areas throughout wider Mangawhai (e.g. Village, Mangawhai Park, the Heads), should be stated and included in the Plan Change to recognise this contribution to a sense of community and cohesion.
								16.3.1 Natural Environment Objective: Amendment and replacement of Green Network Objective: 16.3.1, 16.3.1.1.1: SUPPORT the general thrust of the amended objective but PROPOSE that it be stronger to reflect the values expressed in the subsequently deleted clause 16.3.7 as subsection 16.3.1.1.1 does not adequately capture the guidance expressed in 16.3.7. Specifically, neither 16.3.1 nor 16.3.1.1.1 refer to 'activities' nor to the wider 'Mangawhai Harbour' which will be directly impacted by discharges from Mangawhai Central. While discharges may come under the authority of Northland Regional Council a policy statement in the Plan Change is
134	5	Belinda Vernon	Υ	N	Oppose	Ecology		an important statement of intent.
								16.3.7 Natural Environment Objective - Deletion I SUPPORT the removal of the Natural Environment Objective ONLY if its inclusion at
		_ ,, , , ,			_			16.3 Objectives and Policies has equal weight and influence to being
134	7	Belinda Vernon	Υ	N	Oppose	Ecology	Seek amendment.	includes. Refer 16.3.1 16.3.7 Natural Environment Objective - Deletion I SUPPORT the
								removal of the Natural Environment Objective ONLY if its inclusion at
134	Q	Belinda Vernon	v	N	Oppose	Ecology		16.3 Objectives and Policies has equal weight and influence to being includes. Refer 16.3.1
154	٥	Demina Vernon	l ₁ .	1.4	oppose	LCOIOGY	ocek amenament.	meraues, NEICI 10.3.1

							SUPPORT provision of open space within sub zones to break up large
							tranches of housing that is so dense that without relief simply
							presents a sea of rooves. I refer to the Parklands development as an
134	2 Belinda Vernon	Υ	N	Support	Open / Green Space	None stated.	example of what needs to be avoided.
							SUPPORT. II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
							SUPPORT smaller lot sizes close to the 'retail' or business precinct
							enabling easy walking for residents; but without strict design rules
							for house types 350m2 is too small for the minimum site size in an
							enlarged sub zone footprint. 400m2 (as in the existing Chapter)
							should be the minimum. I SUPPORT a mix of site sizes as one moves
134	3 Belinda Vernon	Y	N	Support	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	away from the 'town centre' of Mangawhai Central.
							SUPPORT smaller lot sizes close to the 'retail' or business precinct
							enabling easy walking for residents; but without strict design rules
							for house types 350m2 is too small for the minimum site size in an
							enlarged sub zone footprint. 400m2 (as in the existing Chapter)
134	4 Belinda Vernon	Υ	N	Support	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	should be the minimum.
							16.8.2.2 Residential Density OPPOSE the deletion of the maximum
							number of sites to be available.
							I believe the maximum number of sites should be identified in the
							Chapter so that there is transparency on the scope of the potential
							development. This in turn can inform Council on the potential impact
							of the development on Council services including as wastewater and
							water services.
							I SUPPORT an increase in density but OPPOSE the densities provided for, except for 3D.
							The proposed Sub Zone 3A appears to be the largest zone within
							Mangawhai Central. Density at 350m2 will result in a solid block of
							=
							housing with little potential for amenity value or privacy.
							Consideration needs to be given to the overall 'look and feel' of not
							only the overall Mangawhai Central area, but the sub zones therein.
							There needs to be more provision for open, green, or common area
							spaces WITHIN the sub zones to avoid the 'block' feel that results
							from small and intensive lot sizes. The 'maximum' number of
							'minimum' lot sizes should be specified to ensure that there is
424	9 Belinda Vernon	v	N.	0	Desidential Alletment Cine	Saak amandmant	variety of sizes within the subzones, not just a carpet of lots of the
134	9 Belinda Vernon	Y	IN	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Seek amendment.	minimum size. This will add to character and appeal.
							16.15.2.1 Residential Lot Layout While I SUPPORT rectangle-shaped
							sites I also SUPPORT provision of 'offsetting' such sites so that they
							don't directly back onto each other (rectangle on rectangle) but
							provide more of patchwork so that the area is not simply a 'rectangle
134	12 Belinda Vernon	Y	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Seek amendment.	made up of linear rectangles'.
254	12 Delinda Verriori	<u> </u>	+'*	Эррозс	nesidential Allothiefft Size	occa amenament.	16.10 Subdivision Provisions
							16.10.10.1 Lot Sizes I OPPOSE the minimum vacant freehold lot sizes
							for 3A, 3B, 3C
							Note the Table shows Sub-zone 3C minimum size as 700m2 but is
134	10 Belinda Vernon	Y	N	Oppose	Subdivision	Seek amendment.	shown as 750m2 in the Table in 16.8.2.2.
134	TO DEIIIIGA VELLIOII		18	Ohhose	JUDUIVISIOII	occk amenament.	Showill as 750inz in the Table in 10.0.2.2.

COUNCAT the governal coverage of the "Availageached Central" delanogement, an inclination of surrous public mercings in exceed present in a contract public mercing in exceed present in a contract of the Changes proposed in PCE, the physical rate exceeds the Change of the Popular of the contract public mercing of the Changes of t									
Service prints in solid meeting in increase years, in solid meeting in increase years, in service-velocity that Colleges of the Description of condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condition of the extended proposed in PT									
Service prints in solid meeting in increase years, in solid meeting in increase years, in service-velocity that Colleges of the Description of condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended of the charges proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condate and extended proposed in PTA. Bit almost a condition of the extended proposed in PT									
is all somewhat plus Chapter for the Dorit Planis and soldsed and not the purpose. Supplied its evalues, would not purpose. Supplied its evalues and extracting and supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evaluation of the supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evaluation. A supplied its evaluation and extracting a supplied its evaluation. A supplied its evaluation and extracting									I SUPPORT the general concept of the 'Mangawhai Central'
is all somewhat plus Chapter for the Dorit Planis and soldsed and not the purpose. Supplied its evalues, would not purpose. Supplied its evalues and extracting and supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evaluation of the supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evalues. A supplied its evalues and extracting a supplied its evaluation. A supplied its evaluation and extracting a supplied its evaluation. A supplied its evaluation and extracting									development, as outlined at various public meetings in recent years.
inch tif for purpose. 1997Bill its revisions. Neaver, CIPPOSE its revisions. Neaver, CIPPOSE its revisions. Neaver, CIPPOSE its return of the charge group of In-CR3 the physical area and extending control of the charge of the community. While it is not the community of the community of the community of the community. While it is not the community of the communi									
entered for the Changes proposed in PCFE. The physical same encompanies of the part of the changes proposed in PCFE. The physical same encompanies of the part of the changes proposed in PCFE. The physical same encompanies of the part of the changes proposed in PCFE. The physical same encompanies of the part of the pa									
ecompassed by Chapter & 16 a child component in creating and functuring a value of the America, in currently about contents, with fire America, in currently about contents, with fire America, in currently about contents, and contents are all formations of the America, in currently about contents, and the America, in currently about contents are validated. **Application of the America, in currently about contents of committing and the America of the America, in currently about contents of committing and contents of committing in the America of Committing in America of Committee									
Note State Charger 16 that Supply Charge (See a service) and the state of the common like way it who begins to the state of the state o									
inligie, 'central and the leader,' it is currently ablink around. He way if developing, or id-developed, with one strong enhances on the way if developing, or id-developed, which was strong enhances on the way if developing, or id-developed, which was strong enhanced to the 'little' of the community, in support of the community in support of the support of the community in support of the community in support of the									
wys develope, or in developed, will have a storing influence on the vision of the community, in the site potential to make in a threat its. SuPPOP in a modified plant in the site potential to make in a threat its. SupPOP in a modified plant in a story in the site potential to make in a threat its. SupPOP in a modified plant in a story in the site potential to make in a threat its. SupPOP in a story in the site potential to make in a threat its. SupPOP in a story in the site potential to make in a story in the site potential to make in a story in the site potential to make in a story in the site potential to make in a story in the site potential to make in the site potential potential to the site potential potential potential to the site potential potential potential to the site potential									nurturing a vibrant community within Mangawhai, built around the
serve of community in Mangawshi and the Valve of the community. In that the required tils consist on the size of the Community in that the required tils consist on the size of the Community. In that the required tils consist on the value of the community. In that there is no privacy, such that resiphous are essentially forced to be used to									'village', 'central' and 'the Heads'. It is currently a blank canvas. The
It has the potential to make in or lones it. SIMPORTS a monoffer plant charge to Chapter 1 that it is SIMPORTS to monoffer plant charge to Chapter 1 that it is SIMPORTS to monoffer of community in SIMPORTS to monoffer in SIMPORTS to monoffer the SIMPORTS to SIMPORT to SIMPORTS to SIMPORTS to SIMPORT to SIMPORTS to SIMPORT to									way it develops, or is developed, will have a strong influence on the
change to Chapter Left Shark 20 JAPORTS for concept of community of SUFFICIATES enhancing empororeal values and Sufficient Community Su									sense of community in Mangawhai and the 'vibe' of the community.
change to Chapter Left Shark 20 JAPORTS for concept of community of SUFFICIATES enhancing empororeal values and Sufficient Community Su									It has the potential to make it or break it. SUPPORT a modified plan
134 1 Belinds Version 9 N Oppose Whole Plan Change Seek amendment. Where is no phosps, such that neighbour are essentially forced to the real new body and that neighbour are essentially forced to the real new body and that neighbour are essentially forced to the real new body and that neighbour are essentially forced to the real new body and that neighbour are essentially forced to the real new body and the replication of the real new body and the real new body and the replication of the real new body and the									
Bake a containing or encouraging products to be built to close beginner in the three is no princy, such that neighbours are sensitially forced in the real products, with that real products are sensitially forced to be in real or the real products. The products are sensitially forced to be in real or the real products and the real products are sensitively forced. The products are supported to be in real products are supported. The products are supported to the real products are supported to the real products are supported. The products are supported to the real products are supported. By Carlos are supported to the real products are supported to the real products are supported to the real products are supported. By Carlos are supported to the real products are supported to the real products are supported. By Carlos are supported to the real products are supported to the real products are supported. By Carlos are supported to the real products are supported. By Carlos are supported to the real products are supported. By Carl									
Bellends Vermon V N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Seek amendment. No the meach other's houses.									
186 1 Belinde Vernom Y N 1 Oppose Whole Plan Change Seek amendment. Onlive in each other's houses. 110 3 Bergamm Frinney N 1 N Oppose Oper (General Space None Stated. Oss of rigarinar rase is not supported.) 110 1 Bergamm Frinney N N N Oppose Washington World Supply None Stated. Oss of rigarinar rase is not supported. 110 2 Bergamm Frinney N N N Oppose Washington World Supply None Stated. Osserbaded space of the State of State State State State State None Stated. Osserbaded space of the State Sta									
110 5 Secipiem Finney N N Quote Open / Green Space None stated. Oss of narram area is not supported. Ow white generations and supported. Ow white generation was a supported. One was stated. Ow white generation was a supported. Ow white generation was a supported. One was stated. No provision for substants are supported. One was stated. No provision for substants and such as a support of the generating of the support of the generating of the generating of the support of the generating of									
110 1 Benjamin Firmey N N N Oppose Wastewater None stated. overlooped system. 110 2 Benjamin Firmey N N N Oppose Wastewater None stated. overlooped system. 110 3 Benjamin Firmey N N N Oppose Waster Supply None stated. No provision for additional water supply (and to be located or stated). Also for strated (acts of strategic approach for Managewhall reed to maintain the decinity and take holistic approach. RCC is not representing facilities. Operating the plan charge. 110 4 Department of the plan charge. None stated. Managewhall interests. 110 5 Serenda Coleman N N Oppose Consultation. 110 7 Benefit Coleman N N N Oppose Consultation. Opcoline the plan charge. Operating the plan charg				Υ	1				
110 1 Bespirin Finney N N N Oppose Water Supply None stated. Overhooded system. 110 4 Bespirin Finney N N N N Oppose Water Supply None stated. No provision for additional water supply lack of strategic approach for Mangawhai merests. 110 4 Bespirin Finney N N N Oppose Water Supply None stated. No provision for additional water supply lack of strategic approach for Mangawhai interests. 110 4 Bespirin Finney N N N Oppose Community Boilines Decline the plan change. Under the plan change in the plan change. Oppose Community Boilines in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the community. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan change. Oppose in the plan change in the plan cha	110	3	Benjamin Finney	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Loss of riparian areas is not supported.
110 2 Senamin Finney N N Oppose Water Supply None stated. No provision for additional water supply Lack of strategic approach for Managawhai need to maintain the left of strategic approach for Managawhai need to maintain the left of strategic approach for Managawhai need to maintain the left of strategic approach for Managawhai need to maintain the left of strategic approach for Senaming of Managawhai interests. 80 5 Serenda Coleman N N N Oppose Community Califles Decline the plan change. Managawhai need as new school. 80 9 Serenda Coleman N N N Oppose Open / Green Space Decline the plan change. Lack of open space is disappointing, existing spaces can't cope - need more publicly accessible general space space. 80 4 Serenda Coleman N N N Oppose Parking Decline the plan change. Oppose with space violation of the space of the									Low lying development, and should not be connected to already
Second Coleman N N Oppose Opp	110	1	Benjamin Finney	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	overloaded system.
4 Benjamin Finney N N Oppose Whole Plan Change None stated. 80 6 Ferenda Coleman N N N Oppose Community Geditles Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 6 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 6 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 8 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 8 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Depth Green Space Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Depth Green Space Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Cole	110	2	Benjamin Finney	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	No provision for additional water supply
4 Benjamin Finney N N Oppose Whole Plan Change None stated. 80 6 Ferenda Coleman N N N Oppose Community Geditles Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 6 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 6 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 8 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 8 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Depth Green Space Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Coleman N N N Oppose Depth Green Space Decline the plan change. 80 9 Streeda Cole						• •			Lack of strategic approach for Mangawhai need to maintain the
10 4 Benjamin Finney N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change None stated. Mangawhain interests. 8 0 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Community Pacifilities 8 0 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Community Pacifilities 8 0 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Community Pacifilities 8 0 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Oppose Consultation 8 0 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose O									
80 6 Pernda Coleman N N N Oppose Comsultation Decline the plan change. Mangawhai needs a new school. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Consultation 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Open / Green Space Decline the plan change. Concerned about where visitors to smaller sections will park. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Parking Decline the plan change. Concerned about where visitors to smaller sections will park. 80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Parking Decline the plan change. Originally promoted walking and cycling but this has been reduced. 80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Residential Allotment Size Decline the plan change. Oppose the increase, has transplate splitfundly with life benefit to the community. 80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. One section will park. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. One section will park the community. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. One section. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. One section will be plan change. System is already under strain not designed to cope with this level of development. Applicant should contribute and not burden ratepayers. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. Supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 3 Brace Rogan Y Y Oppose Wastewater district plan changes were approved. Usos of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 80 4 Brace Rogan Y Y Y Oppose Wastewater district plan changes were approved. Usos of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 90 Decline the plan changes were approved. Usos of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 90 Decline the plan changes were approved. Usos of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 102 2 Brace Rogan Y Y Y Oppose Wasteward district plan changes were approved. Ose the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support	110	4	Reniamin Finney	N	N	Onnose	Whole Plan Change	None stated	
80 9 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Consultation Decline the plan change. Concerned about transparency and consultation. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Open / Green Space Decline the plan change. Concerned about transparency and consultation. Concerned about transparency and cycling but this has been reduced. Oppose the increase, has charged significantly with little benefit to the community. 80 1 Serenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N N N Oppose Wastewater 90 2 Brenda Coleman N N				1	N				ŭ
80 7 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Open / Green Space Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Parking Decline the plan change. 80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Residential Allotment Stee 80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Residential Allotment Stee 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Residential Allotment Stee 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 6 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 6 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 80 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. 80 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. 80 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Y Oppose				1					
80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Open / Green Space Decline the plan change. more publicly accessible green space. Concerned about where visitors to smaller sections will park. Oppose Parking Decline the plan change. Originally promoted walking and cycling but this has been reduced. Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to the plan change. Parking Decline the plan change. Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to the plan change. Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to the plan change. Parking Decline the plan change. Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to the plan change. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce congestion. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce community. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and	80	9	brenda Coleman	IN .	IN .	Орроѕе	Consultation	became the plan thange.	
Bo 4 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Parking Decline the plan change. Originally promoted walking and cycling but this has been reduced. Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to the community. Bo 1 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Residential Allotment Size Decline the plan change. Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to the community. Bo 5 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce congestion. Bo 3 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. System is already under strain not designed to cope with this level of development. Applicant should contribute and not burden ratespayers. Bo 2 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tatespayers. Bo 2 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Suppled. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. Bo 2 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. Bo 3 Brends Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. In the plan change of the new community. Bo Coline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Bo Coleman N Y Oppose Wastewater Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents lilegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Bo Coleman Space Space Note aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents lilegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Bo Coleman Space Note aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the mecessary district plan changes were approved. Bo Coleman Space Note the paper of the necessary district plan changes were approved. Bo Coleman Space Note aquifer from which water will be extract		_		l					
80	80	/	Brenda Coleman	N	N	Uppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	more publicly accessible green space.
80									
80 1 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Residential Allotment Size Decline the plan change. 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. 80 6 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 7 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 0 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 0 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 0 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 0 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 81 Decline he application. Council shou									
80 1 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Residential Allotment Size Decline the plan change. the community. 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. Ocception. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tratepayers. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tratepayers. 80 4 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tratepayers of the new community. 80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tratepayers of the new community. 80 6 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tratepayers of the new community. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Tratepayers of the new community. 80 9 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 80 10 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary distri	80	4	Brenda Coleman	N	N	Oppose	Parking	Decline the plan change.	Originally promoted walking and cycling but this has been reduced.
80 5 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. Oppose System is already under strain not designed to cope with this level of development. Applicant should contribute and not burden ratepayers. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. 80 9 Bruce Rogan Y V Oppose Open / Green Space Decline the plan change. Of the new community. 81 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 82 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 83 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 84 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 85 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 86 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 87 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved.									Opposes the increase, has changed significantly with little benefit to
80 5 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Traffic / Roading Decline the plan change. Congestion. 80 3 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. 80 0 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 1 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Wastewater district plan changes were approved. 80 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Wastewater district plan changes were approved. 80 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 80 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 80 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 80 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 81 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 82 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 83 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. 84 6 Gameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. 85 6 Gameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. 86 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Oppose Oppose Oppose None stated. 87 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Oppose Oppose Oppose None stated.	80	1	Brenda Coleman	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	the community.
System is already under strain not designed to cope with this level of development. Applicant should contribute and not burden ratepayers. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 9 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. 80 0 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 0 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. 81 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. 82 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. 83 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. 84 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 85 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. 86 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Popose Infrastructu									Need more pedestrian friendly walkways and cycle ways to reduce
System is already under strain not designed to cope with this level of development. Applicant should contribute and not burden ratepayers. By Samuel Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. By Samuel Rogan N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. Supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary consents	80	5	Brenda Coleman	N	N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	congestion.
Bo 3 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. development. Applicant should contribute and not burden ratepayers. Bo 2 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. Bo 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Description of the new community. Decline the application of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application of the plan changes were approved. Decline the application of the plan changes were approved.						• •	, ,		
80 3 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Wastewater Decline the plan change. ratepayers. 80 2 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. supplied. Aquifer is being depleted. Should harvest rainwater. NRC granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. 80 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. 80 Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 80 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 81 Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 82 Bruce Rogan Y Y Y Oppose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. 83 Decline he paplication. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 84 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Ecology None stated. 85 Decline he paplication. Council									
Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary or projected demand been added by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary or projected demand been added by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary or projected demand been added by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary or projected demand been added by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary or projected demand been added to th	90	2	Branda Calaman	N	N	Onnoco	Mastawator	Decline the plan change	
80 2 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Water Supply Decline the plan change. granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient. 80 8 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting res	80	3	Brenda Colenian	IN	IN	Oppose	wastewater	becline the plan change.	• •
80 8 Brenda Coleman N N Oppose Whole Plan Change Decline the plan change. of the new community. Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of strict plan changes were approved. Description of the necessary of the control of granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the necessary of the control of granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the necessary									
Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of grant	80	2	Brenda Coleman	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	granted extraction unlikely to be sufficient.
Decline the application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of grant	80	8	Brenda Coleman	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	of the new community.
for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the grantin				İ	1	1	1	i i	,
for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the grantin					I			Decline the application. Council should be held account	
3 Bruce Rogan Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space necessary district plan changes were approved. Loss of green spaces total absence of forward planning. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the necessary of the projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the necessary					I		1	To the second se	
Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary 1. has the (Ecocare) sewage system the capacity to cope with projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the grant projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the grant projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary of the grant projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the grant projected demand been validated by an independent expert	103	2	Pruco Pogan	v	V	Onnoco	Open / Green Space	= = = :	Loss of groon spaces total absonce of forward planning
granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 1. has the (Ecocare) sewage system the capacity to cope with projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Des the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the commercial and domestic activities and have capacity to sustainably support demand. Where is the proof? Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	102	3	ьгисе кодап	ľ	T	Oppose	Open / Green Space	necessary district plan changes were approved.	LOSS OF green spaces total absence of forward planning.
granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. 1. has the (Ecocare) sewage system the capacity to cope with projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Des the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the commercial and domestic activities and have capacity to sustainably support demand. Where is the proof? Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	1				1			L	
102 1 Bruce Rogan Y Y Q Oppose Wastewater district plan changes were approved. projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Projected demand been validated by an independent expert. Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the commercial and domestic activities and have capacity to sustainably support demand. Where is the proof? Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is perposed for lighting. 88 7 Cameron Shaw Y Oppose Open / Green Space None stated. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	1				1				
Decline he application. Council should be held account for granting resource consents illegally before the necessary commercial and domestic activities and have capacity to sustainably district plan changes were approved. Secondary 1					I				
granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Service Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. Wetland areas). Need pest control. Wetland areas). Need pest control. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	102	1	Bruce Rogan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	district plan changes were approved.	projected demand been validated by an independent expert.
granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Service Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. Wetland areas). Need pest control. Wetland areas). Need pest control. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.									
granting resource consents illegally before the necessary district plan changes were approved. Service Rogan Y Y Oppose Water Supply Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. Wetland areas). Need pest control. Wetland areas). Need pest control. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions what is proposed for lighting. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	1				1			Decline he application. Council should be held account for	Does the aquifer from which water will be extracted to support the
102 2 Bruce Rogan Y Y Qopose Water Supply district plan changes were approved. support demand. Where is the proof? Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Ecology None stated. wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 6 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is proposed for lighting. 88 7 Cameron Shaw Y Oppose Open / Green Space None stated. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	1				1			1 7	
Ecological survey needs updating and unique flora and fauna preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 6 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Ecology None stated. wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 6 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is proposed for lighting. 88 7 Cameron Shaw Y Oppose Open / Green Space None stated. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.	102	2	Bruce Rogan	lγ	Y	Oppose	Water Supply		, ,
preserved (bittern, fern birds, cabbage tree forest, tidal interface and wetland areas). Need pest control. 88	102			1	 	- FPOSE			•
88 4 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Ecology None stated. wetland areas). Need pest control. 88 6 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is proposed for lighting. 88 7 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space None stated. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.					I				
88 6 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) None stated. Questions what is proposed for lighting. 88 7 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space None stated. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.			Camaran Shaw	v	V	Onnoco	Ecology	Nano stated	
88 7 Cameron Shaw Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space None stated. Questions where walkways will go and timeframes.				l V	V				
				Y V	Y		` '		
88 1 Lameron Snaw Y Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size None stated. 350m2 'way too small' aesthetically .				Y	Y	_ ' '			
	88	1	Cameron Shaw	ĮΥ	ľ	Uppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	350m2 way too small aestnetically .

		T	1	1	_	T	1	
								Kaipara harbour overlay is 40% residential sections. Questions where
88	2	Cameron Shaw	Y	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	stormwater will go and how it will effects estuary. Questions why there is no upgrade proposed and concerned about
88	3	Cameron Shaw	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	capacity.
88	5	Cameron Shaw	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Questions where potable water will come from and if the aquifer can handle it.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
152	9	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	development on the surrounding amenity values. Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
152	7	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
152	4	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
152	6	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
152	2	Carla Hood	v	v	0,,,,,,	Desidential Alletment Circ	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
152	3	сана пооц	ı	1	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional IIIIOffilation.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
							_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
152	5	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this. Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
152	1	Carla Hood	Υ	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater treatment.
152		cana moda	·		Оррозс	Trasterrate.		
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
152	2	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
152		cana moda	·		Оррозс	тисс. зарр.у		onange on aquiter so effects on existing users can be understood.
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
								to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
152	8	Carla Hood	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight. proposed development will adversely impact the current
								infrastructure of the Village and the Heads. Water storage,
								wastewater, run off and public green space have not been
136	1	Catherine Arnault	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	addressed.
33 188	1	Charlotte Scott Cheryl Mitchell	N N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change Residential Allotment Size	None stated. Reduced density of housing.	None stated.
100	1	Cheryi WillCheil	IN .		Oppose	Residential Allottilent Size	Reduced density of flousing.	Oppose scale of housing with lack of green space.
188	3	Cheryl Mitchell	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Require developer to build and maintain own system.	Oppose developer latching onto wastewater system.
								oppose the development being allowed to use the aquifer droughts
188	2	Cheryl Mitchell	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Require water tanks.	will continue and worsen. Must be requirement for water tanks.
155		Christine Basham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about impact of lighting on night sky.
155	1	Christine Basham	Y	Y	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	Community looses out with the removal if community facilities. Existing facilities wont be able to cope.
133	4	San Service Substituti		-	o ppose			Community looses out with reduction of green space and queens
155	7	Christine Basham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	chain.
155	9	Christine Basham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Parking	Decline the plan change.	Concerned with impact on parking, particularly during summer.
								High density housing undesirable in Mangawhai and could result in
155	2	Christine Basham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	reverse sensitivity where right next to farms. Concerned about stormwater impact on the estuary and the impact
155	6	Christine Basham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	on recreation and wildlife values.
155		Christine Basham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	Roading cannot support additional housing development.

г т			ı	1		T	1	
155	5	Christine Basham	Y	v	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Community looses out with lack of independent wastewater system.
155	,	CHISCHIC Busham	 		Оррозе	Wastewater	became the plan change.	Preferred the original plan with max 500 residential units, green
								space and staging of community facilities, larger lots around wetland
								areas. Provision of gueens chain and retention of gum diggers track
155	1	Christine Basham	Y	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	for public access.
							· -	Concerned that the high density development will affect the special
156	6	Clive Boonham	Y	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	character of Mangawhai.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
156	9	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
								Concerned about adequate water supply and pressure for fire
								fighting purposes, particularly during drought. Concerned about
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	flooding given proximity to the estuary and climate change - needs
156	5	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	and independent review paid for by the applicant.
								Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
156	8	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
								Concerned with the size and density of development proposed,
								effects on the environment and village atmosphere. Need
				1		1	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	certainty/cap on the number of lots. Should be 500. Concerned with
156	2	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	lot size, 350m2 too small as cant accommodate water tanks.
		<u> </u>						
1							_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
156	7	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
								Oppose proposed connection to council system, application should
								pay for their own scheme. If connection is required, further
								information is needed as to how it will cope with capacity - as
								currently the residents have been advised there is not capacity. A
								development agreement is required to address any costs so that this
156	3	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	does not fall on the residents.
								Considers water supply to be inadequate. No evidence to suggest
								that the bore has capacity to provide the volume of water for the
								development, as well as existing users particularly considering the
							Dealing the plan should and a positive that he are subscribed at	effects of drought. No hydrological report has been provided.
456		Clina Basakana	.,	v		Matan Comple	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rainwater harvesting should be required for water supply and fire
156	4	Clive Boonham	Y	Y	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	fighting supply.
								Supports many aspects of the proposal and understands that the
								existing Estuary Estate Plan has significant deficiencies however is
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	concerned with the vague and general information provided,
156	1	Clive Boonham	v	v	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	particularly in regards to the provision of infrastructure.
130	1	Clive Boothiam	'	'	Oppose	Whole Flatt Change	with additional information.	particularly in regards to the provision of infrastructure.
				1		1		Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
				1		1		that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
				1		1		and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
								to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
				1		1		consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight. Application
				1		1		must have particular regard to s32(1)(a), (b) and (c). Application fails
								to appropriately assess environmental, economic, social and cultural
								effects specifically on amenity values and special nature of
156	10	Clive Boonham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	Mangawhai.
41		Clive Currie	Υ	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	Impact on the environment will be significant.
1			1			<u> </u>		
41	2	Clive Currie	Υ	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	The impact of the proposal will adversely affect infrastructure.
41		Clive Currie	Υ	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Planning should have been part of the RMC.
1			1			Ī	İ	Mangawhai infrastructure is not robust enough to cope with the
19	7	Corinne Callinan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	level of housing proposed.
								Concerned that infill will result in increased flooding, questions how
19	4	Corinne Callinan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	None stated.	will this be managed.
	- 1						•	0

							Concerned that green spaces have been removed and no 'Queens
19	2 Corinne Callinan	v	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Chain' proposed.
15	2 COTTITIE CAINTIAT	- '	-	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Submitter understand the need for medium to high density, but
							infrastructure is limited and thinks Mangawhai residents should be
							<u> </u>
40	5 Corinne Callinan	v	v	0	Residential Allotment Size	Ingressed density should be rejected	the ones benefitting from being able to subdivide to increase
19		Y	T V	Oppose		Increased density should be rejected.	density, not this developer.
19	3 Corinne Callinan	Y	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	Concerned that stormwater to be piped into harbour.
							Concerned with incremental traffic increases. Roading is insufficie
							to cope with 1000 more houses, causeway could divide the
							community unless developers pay for works to improve the
19	1 Corinne Callinan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	causeway and roundabout.
						Further information as to how the water allocation was	
						calculated, how much was paid for access and if RC has	Concerned how the bore allowance has been calculated for
19	6 Corinne Callinan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	been granted.	Mangawhai Central.
109	1 Daniel Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Do not let them buy the land.	None stated.
	·						
179	David & Janet Norris	N	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Further information and consultation.	Residential intensity will adversely effects character of Mangawh
			-	Оррозс			Development will exacerbate existing issues, particularly in term
179	David & Janet Norris	N	v	Oppose	Community facilities	Further information and consultation.	capacity of schools.
1/3	David & Janet Norris	18		Оррозе	community facilities	Tuttier information and consultation.	
170	Devid & Jeset Messie		v/	0	0 / 6 6	5th an information and accombation	Concerned about impact on existing Recreation facilities, expans
179	David & Janet Norris	IN	T T	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Further information and consultation.	of these areas has not been provided for.
							No certainty in total number of lots. Concerned about increase.
179	David & Janet Norris	N	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Further information and consultation.	Minimum size of 350m2 is too small.
179	David & Janet Norris	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Further information and consultation.	Applicants should provide own facility. Volume of discharge uncle
							Oppose the provision, no responsibility to harvest water. Concer
179	David & Janet Norris	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Further information and consultation.	about drought implications and cost to residents.
							changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant ru and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expression of the proposed changes in a balance, between the desire to circulate the control of the contr
470			.,				to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
179	David & Janet Norris	N	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Further information and consultation.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
							The density of housing is not appropriate for the existing
43	2 David & Marion Pilmer	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	infrastructure.
43	1 David & Marion Pilmer	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Suggest sticking with the original plan.
							reduce the number of residential allotments to control effluent in
195	2 David Ainley	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	the estuary and impact on existing infrastructure.
195	1 David Ainley	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	To maintain the status quo as it currently stand.
81	3 David Beattie	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	None stated.	Natural resource including wetland will be impacted.
81	2 David Beattie	N	N	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	None stated.	Commercial development is over ambitious.
81	1 David Beattie	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Opposes planned residential.
							Concerned that wastewater system will be overloaded if
81	4 David Beattie	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	development proceeds to the planned level.
01	4 David Deattle	17		Oppose	Wastewater	none stated.	act copilient proceeds to the planned level.
81	5 Di-l Di-		.,	0	Mile de Blan Channa	Non-adapted	Name describe and control of the following date of the first state of
81	5 David Beattie	IN	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	More detail is required including who is behind the development
							There is inadequate information on water use age and supply pl the impact of waste water on the local natural environment. Als change doesn't allow for the impact on road users of the additic residential dwellings. The traffic management plan is inadequat
57	1 David Cunningham	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	the environmental increased flow.
3/	1 David Cullilligham	IN IN	IN .	Ομρυσε	Wildle Flan Change	became the plan triange.	
			- 1				Concerned that Mangawhai doesn't have the facilities to
4.47	5 0-114 6-14		 		Community for elliptical	Cook assessment	accommodate the increase in population, particularly concerned
147	5 David Goold	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Seek amendment.	about medical services.
			1				
1							Requests transparency. Considers the process to have been 'blu
147	6 David Goold	IN	N	Oppose	Consultation	Seek amendment.	and that not all information required has been provided.

	1	_		1		T	Concerned about the impact the development will have on the
147	4 David Goold	N	N	Onnese	Ecology	Seek amendment.	environment.
147	4 David Goold	IN	IN .	Oppose	Ecology	Seek amendment.	Concerned with size and number of sections, should not be more
147	1 David Goold	N	N	Opposo	Residential Allotment Size	Seek amendment.	than 500.
147	1 David Goold	IN	IN	Oppose	Residential Allottilent Size	Seek amenument.	than 300.
							Concerned about limits of wastewater system that is already over
							loaded, and septic water evacuation. Requests further information
147	2 David Goold	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Seek amendment.	and that the developer provides their own system.
1.7	2 50110 50510		- 1	оррозс	Waste water	Seek ameriament.	Concerned about proposed water supply, wants further information.
							Concerned about impact of weather conditions on water supply if
147	3 David Goold	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Seek amendment.	developers don't provide own supply.
1.7	3			оррозс	Transition Copper,		Concerned about the impact on infrastructure including schools
65	3 David Grant	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	Review and change with the community in mind.	water supply and stormwater.
					,		Concerned about the lack of certainty in terms of number of new
65	1 David Grant	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Review and change with the community in mind.	houses.
							Concerned about the lack of certainty in terms of number of new
							connections to wastewater, and who will pay for any required
65	2 David Grant	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	Review and change with the community in mind.	upgrades.
							The plan change is not supported by consultation from the
							community. The applicant has made no effort to include the
							community and it is a concern that council are considering these
4	3 David James Cunningham	Υ	Y	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	changes without community consultation.
				5,500			There is a significant reduction in some green spaces and the total
							removal of others. This will become an issue with increased infill
4	4 David James Cunningham	Υ	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	housing.
				5,500	open, ereanspeed		Additional housing is outside of the original plan with reduced
							section size. Additional houses will put unspecified demands on
4	1 David James Cunningham	Υ	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Council infrastructure.
				5,500			Concerned regarding increased stormwater which when released
							into the harbour will impact on natural resources, in particular
4	2 David James Cunningham	Υ	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	natural wildlife.
							Proposal is not in keeping with the character of the area. Lack of
196	1 David Macpherson	v	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	focus on the amenity of the estuary.
	·	ĺ			,		Concerned about the lack of open space and esplanade reserve
196	3 David Macpherson	v	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	resulting in semi privatisation of waterfront areas.
	·			· ·			
							Proposal seeks a less prescriptive approach and will result in poor
							urban and planning outcomes. Current provisions result in better
							outcomes and 'checks and balances. Concerned that the proposal is
							not giving appropriate effect to Part 2 of the RMA and the proposal
							doesn't not represent the most appropriate means of exercising
196	2 David Macpherson	У	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	councils functions in terms of efficiency and effectiveness.
	·	ĺ		1.		-	·
			1				Concerned by the increase in the number of residential plots and
10	1 David Medland-Slater	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	plan for the provision of school premises and retirement homes.
İ							Concerned about increase in general traffic at peak times once
10	3 David Medland-Slater	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	development is completed.
							Concerned by inadequate details about how the developer will deal
10	2 David Medland-Slater	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	with wastewater.
İ							The lot sizes are too small and will negatively affect the special
115	3 Debra Searchfield	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	character.
							The applicant should supply their own facility for wastewater
115	1 Debra Searchfield	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	treatment for the development.
							With possible future droughts the aquifers will not have enough
115	2 Debra Searchfield	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	capacity.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
79	9 Denise Stuart	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
İ						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
79	7 Denise Stuart	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
		-					

1		ī	1	1		I	T	
								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
79	4	Denise Stuart	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
							Desline the plan shows and appropriate it to be an approximated	Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
79	6	Denise Stuart	v	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing fields or courts.
/3	- 0	Demise Studie	†	<u>'</u>	Оррозе	open / Green space		Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
79	3	Denise Stuart	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
								Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
79	5	Denise Stuart	Υ	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this. Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
79	1	Denise Stuart	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate
79	2	Denise Stuart	Υ	Y	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
						, , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
79	8	Denise Stuart	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
								· -
								No public consultation, the proposal is not aligned with the vision for
	_	B 811.1				0 11 11		Mangawhai and not what was proposed to the public initially. The
13	8	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	developers should stick to what they planned originally.
								Concerned about the pressure on existing shops and that the light
13	9	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	Decline the plan change.	industrial land has disappeared in favour of tiny house sites.
								Concerned with lack of public access to estuary and that the walking
13	3	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	track has gone.
13	2	Doona Dilahar	N.	N	Onnece	Desidential Alletment Circ	Decline the plan change	Does not agree with the amount of houses or units, section sizes are
15		Desna Pilcher	N	IN	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	too small. Concerned with stormwater from har surfaces being piped into the
13	4	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	estuary
								Mangawhai Roads are too narrow , the amount of shops and light
13	7	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	industrial has disappeared in favour of tiny house sites.
4.0	-	Dosno Bilebox	N.	N.	0,,,,,,,	Mastauator	Dealine the plan shapes	Concerned about putting more strain on the wastewater system that
13	5	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	is already near capacity. Does not agree with the use of aquifer water as opposed to tank
13	6	Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	water like everyone else.
13		Desna Pilcher	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Parts need amended, should stick with the original.
							_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
87	3	Dianne Glucina	N	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
87	4	Dianne Glucina	N	V	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Provisions for stormwater are not adequate, concerned about sediment discharge.
87	4	Diamine Glucina	IV.		Оррозе	Stormwater	with additional information.	Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
								undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
87	1	Dianne Glucina	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
87	2	Dianne Glucina	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
				·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	

Г		I		1		1	T	
40	1	Dion Pilmer	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	Concerned that the proposal will overload the wastewater system.
40	2	Dion Pilmer	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Concerned that the proposal could overload the aquifer.
								There is no up-to-date structure plan for Mangawhai. There has been on proper sequencing for provision of infrastructure. Inadequate assessment of need and demand for additional housing the area. Loss of green space. Mangawhai not set up to cope with increase in
207	1	Donna Flavell	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	population, le, schools, libraries, medical etc.
90	4	Doug Lloyd	N	N	Seek Amendment	Amenity	with additional information.	Number of lost will not fit with Mangawhai's special nature.
		,				,		Number of lost will not fit with Mangawhai special nature and no
90	5	Doug Lloyd	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	mention of total number to be developed.
90	1	. Doug Lloyd	N	N	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Concerned about quality of water discharged and effect of volume on salinity. Not sufficiently addressed.
90		Doug Lloyd	N	N	Seek Amendment	Wastewater		Developer should provide their own system as current one couldn't cope. Need further independent information on capacity and further consultation with community.
90	3	Doug Lloyd	N	N	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	Concerned that aquifer can support the volume of water required, and potential cost for ratepayers. Need independent hydrological assessment.
94	2	Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Density is detrimental to rural character of Mangawhai.
94		Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	High density urban development is visually incongruent with the rural landscape and detracts from the character of Mangawhai and the natural areas.
94	6	Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Opposes the lack of provision for open space, particularly along the inner harbour and the older gum diggers track; has amenity value as well.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
94	1	Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	Opposes the number of dwellings proposed.
94	4	Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	Concerned with sediment from earthworks and untreated stormwater flowing into the harbour.
94		Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater		Oppose - the applicant should provide their own independent system as the existing could not cope. Need hydrological assessment.
3.		Douglas V Moores	.,		Оррозс	Wastewate.	With additional mornidations	Oppose proposed draw from aquifer and tanks - will not adequately
							_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	provide the necessary water. More detailed reports needed.
94	5	Douglas V Moores	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	Concerned about impact on the aquifer, drought and climate change.
192	3	Elizabeth & Toby Evans	N	n	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Amount of greenspace to be provided needs to be made clear.
192	1	Elizabeth & Toby Evans	N	n	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that connection to the wastewater system will overload it, applicant should provide their own system. Lack of detail re number of connection and no provision for capital costs.
192	2	Elizabeth & Toby Evans	N	n	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Oppose the changes to provision of wastewater, not sufficient. In respect of the aquifer, figures provided don't take into account drought, NIWA predicts Northland will experience around 10% more time in drought by 2040. Concerned about effects on consent holders and minimum detail provided.
56	6	Elizabeth Cameron	N	Y	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that the proposal no longer includes pool or recreation facilities. Also concerned about size of retirement village - 135 beds is too small and will be too expensive for locals.
56	7	Elizabeth Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that the proposal no longer includes pool or recreation facilities. Also concerned about size of retirement village - 135 beds is too small and will be too expensive for locals.
56 56		Elizabeth Cameron	N N	Y	Oppose Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land Open / Green Space		Opposes the reductions of shopping and business areas. Opposes the reduction of open and green space.
30		Liizabetti Cameron	114	J'	Obbose	Open / Green space	became the plan change.	opposes the reduction of open and green space.

56	2 Elizabeth Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Oppose the decreased lot sizes.
							Opposes the connection to the wastewater system and concerned
	25: 1 11 0		.,				about additional costs to ratepayers, to deal with additional load,
56 56	3 Elizabeth Cameron 8 Elizabeth Cameron	N N	Y	Oppose	Wastewater Water Supply	Decline the plan change. Decline the plan change.	questions if applicant are still going to pay to upgrade. Opposes use of aquifer for water supply
56	8 Elizabeth Cameron	IN	ľ	Oppose	water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Opposes the application as the applicant have said that the plan
							change is similar to original application and it isn't. No timeline
56	1 Elizabeth Cameron	N	v	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	provided for development.
30	I Enzadeth cameron		1	оррозс	Whole Hall change	became the plan change.	provided for development.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Supports retirement facility but seeks more detail regarding the
95	3 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	with additional information.	number of units, when it will be available and if it will be a franchise.
							Requires more information pertaining to business and service zones
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	and how education facilities are provided for. Provision needs to be
95	4 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land		made for schools as current ones are at capacity.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Opposes the change to greenspace - inadequate provision for
95	5 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	recreation. More needs to be allocated.
							L
							Maximum number of lots not stated - original proposal included a
							cap, requests this to be clearly stated. Concerned with the densities for specific zones and potential yield. Seeks clarification as to what
							density is applied to Integrated Residential Overlay. Potential change
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	in dwelling numbers does not fit well with Mangawhai, or align with
95	2 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	the Mangawhai Community Plan.
- 33	z zna orani		1.	оррозс	nesidential / motiment size		Opposes stormwater proposal and any other activity in the wetland
							area. Reliance of wetland for and stream network for stormwater
							discharge is outdated engineering. Stormwater activity must not be
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	detrimental to the wetlands. Concerned with change in activity
95	6 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	status.
							Oppose connection to KDC treatment plant, concerned with lack of
							detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, no
0.5	7					Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	== : : : : :
95	7 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	and further consultation with ratepayers.
							Oppose reliance on aquifer, proposal does not adequately provide for freshwater further information required that considered effects
							of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be
							understood. NRC need more robust before granting consent for
							additional usage. Concerned about fire waster supply and impacts of
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	drought. Ground water should not be relied upon, tanks should be
95	8 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	required.
							Staging and financial development contributions need to ensure co-
							ordinated development and that contribution is made for the share
							of growth related infrastructure costs. Asks that 16.3.10 be retained
							to protect ratepayers. Wants confirmation that development
95	1 Ella Grant	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	contributions will be paid by applicant.
							The increased number of houses above the original (approximate)
							500 is not acceptable. That level of growth is too much and too fast
							for such a small town, particularly with the serious concerns about local infrastructure and issues with water supply. The increased
							density of sections to 350-500sm is not in keeping with the special
200	1 Ella Rickit	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change and request further information.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_00	2 End monte			оррозс	nesidential / motinelle size	p.an anange and request rather information.	

							1	
200	2	Ella Rickit	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	The applicant should be required to obtain an independent Hydrogeological Assessment of the water	Concerned about the water supply for the new development. Is this new development going to drain the water table at the expense of all the current residents? What happens when that water is dangerously low or we have drought after drought each summer? What are they paying for access to this precious water? Conversations with water suppliers in the are say it is very difficult to get water and they are always looking for new sources. There was a 4 week wait for water at the height of summer and we are still officially in drought at the end of May.
200	3	Ella Rickit	N	N	Consess	Wastewater	That the Council will not enter into any agreement in respect of wastewater treatment for Mangawhai Central without full and open consultation with ratepayers	There are serious concerns that the current waste water infrastructure cannot accommodate this huge increase in residential and commercial development. We need an independent report on the plant, the reticulation, the pumping system, and the discharge system - which tell us how much capacity it has and it's projected longevity. The existing community has grave concerns that the increased strain on the system could mean another huge expense for ratepayers which we cannot afford. In other words - we worry that the property developer and council are externalising the true cost of the new development by getting ratepayers to pay for wastewater
			IN	IN	Oppose		0 0 .	upgrades.
32	3	Emma Mallock	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	None stated.	Delicate ecosystems of Mangawhai need to be protected.
32	1	Emma Mallock	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	Concerned about stormwater run off contaminating the water ways.
22		Forms Mallagle	N	N		14/	Managara da da da da da da da da da da da da da	Concerned about Mangawhai sewerage system capacity and any
32	2	Emma Mallock	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	potential cost for ratepayers.
171	9	Euan Upston	у	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
	_							Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
171	,	Euan Upston	У	1	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	proposed. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
171	4	Euan Upston	у	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
474				.,		2 (2 5		Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
171	ь	Euan Upston	У	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
171	3	Euan Upston	у	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, 350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
474	-						_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
171	5	Euan Upston	У	T	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
171	1	Euan Upston	У	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater treatment.
474	3	Fuan Uncton	V	·	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate
171		Euan Upston	у	Y	Oppose Oppose	Whole Plan Change		change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood. Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
185	1	Faye & James Shewan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and request further information.	What is proposed does not reflect Mangawhai.

		1		1	T		
185	5 Faye & James Shewan	Υ	Y	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and request further information.	Concerned about intensity and impact on character of Mangawhai.
100	5, 2, 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1	1	Оррозс			Concerned about lack of open space and esplanade reserve.
							Questions where the esplanade reserve noted on the development
185	5 Faye & James Shewan	v	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change and request further information.	ic
165	5 raye & James Shewan	'	 '	Оррозе	Open / Green space	becline the plan change and request further information.	Oppose number of houses, not in keeping with Mangawhai, should
							be limited to what was originally proposed with a mix of section size.
105	4 F 8 J Sh	v	l.,	0	Basidantial Allaton ant Cias		= '' '
185	4 Faye & James Shewan	Υ	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change and request further information.	350m2 too small.
							Oppose the connection to the wastewater system, concerned about
							capacity and unfairly disadvantages people who purchased property
							for the future to be advised that there was no capacity for
185	2 Faye & James Shewan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change and request further information.	connection.
							Oppose proposed water supply - what is opposed will not be
							sufficient , and will be an eyesore. Want further detail on exactly
							what is proposed and how drought and water shortage will be taken
185	3 Faye & James Shewan	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and request further information.	into consideration.
							Concerned about reduction of greenspace and unclear if green
						Keep waterside open to the public, using both sides of the	network will be maintained. Waterway walkway will be essential
187	1 Fiona Simon	N	N	seek amendment	Open / Green Space	road as walkway.	with an increased population.
							Get some professional independent planning help and consider the
92	1 Florian Primbs	N	N	0,,,,,,,	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	long term effects on the people and environment
92	1 FIGURIT FILLIOS	IN	IN	Oppose	Wildle Flair Change	becline the plan change.	
400	35 34 1 1 3 11		l				This will completely ruin n the feel and affect the beautiful
133	3 Francesca von Wurzbach-Purcell	N	N	Oppose	Amenity	None stated.	environment for financial gain.
133	2 Francesca von Wurzbach-Purcell	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	Consideration has not been given to correct infrastructure.
							Concerned about the increase in housing and impact on character of
151	2 Francis & Michael Hookings	γ	v	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	Mangawhai.
151	1 Francis & Michael Hookings	Y	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about the increase in housing.
131	1 Trancis & Wichael Hookings		<u>'</u>	Оррозс	Residential Another Size	became the plan change.	Concerned about the mercuse in riousing. Concerned about how the wastewater system will manage and
							potential costs to ratepayers, Applicant should provide its own
							system - if not feasible, council should require report to confirm
						_ ,, ,, ,	capacity and condition of system. No agreement should be entered
151	3 Francis & Michael Hookings	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	into without further consultation.
							Concerned about the impact on existing services that are already
66	4 Gail Williams	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	inadequate including parking, shops and roads.
66	1 Gail Williams	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Opposes the number of dwellings proposed.
							Wants to understand the existing capacity of the wastewater
66	2 Gail Williams	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	system and whether it can support the increase.
					1		Concerned that NRC has approved he water draw from the
					1		Mangawhai bore, particularly given the water shortage in the region.
66	3 Gail Williams	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Notes that the lot sizes are too small to support water tanks.
	-		1	1	1		Residential density is not in keeping with the open space and
89	5 Gainor & Graham Kerrigan	N	Y	Oppose	Amenity	None stated.	amenity of Mangawhai.
89	4 Gainor & Graham Kerrigan	N	Y	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	Residential density puts too much pressure on infrastructure.
0.5	- Journal & Granam Kerrigan		+	Oppose	astructure (General)	The states.	Object to 600m2 property size, not in keeping with the open space of
89	2 Gainor & Graham Kerrigan	N	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated	Mangawhai.
69	Z Idamor & dranam kerngan	IN .	<u> </u> '	Oppose	nesidentiai Ailotinent size	None stated.	iviangawnal.
					1		
					1		Oppose connection to current system; lacks capacity. The developer
		L	1	I.	L.,		could offer to extend plant. Concerned about smell and cost for
89	1 Gainor & Graham Kerrigan	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	ratepayers. Need further research on the sustainability of the plant.
					1		
					1		Object to aquifer for main water supply, questions where the
					1		supporting research is and if NRC has already issued consent,
					1		questions if the bore has capacity on top of being emergency water
	1	1	1	1		1	supply for Mangawhai? Questions if climate change has been taken
							supply for ividing awrian: Questions in climate change has been taken
89	3 Gainor & Graham Kerrigan	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply		into account. Suggests that rainwater harvesting should be required.

			1	1	1	1	1	
52	1	Garrett Hall	Υ	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change		Opposes plan change due to overall adverse effects, considers it 'erodes' key provision of the previous Estuary Estates Structure Plan. Key elements to include from the EESP include the Green network provisions, provisions related to walking and cycling linkage, natural environment objectives, and Transport Network and Access Strategy (to be enhances with cycling provisions).
								Concerned that the proposal no longer includes pool or recreation
	_	CC	.	v		Community for elliption	Darling the alexander	facilities. Also concerned about size of retirement village - 135 beds
55	6	Gary Cameron	N	Y	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	is too small and will be too expensive for locals.
								Concerned that the proposal no longer includes pool or recreation
								facilities. Also concerned about size of retirement village - 135 beds
55	7	Gary Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	is too small and will be too expensive for locals.
55		Gary Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land		Opposes the reductions of shopping and business areas.
55		Gary Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Opposes the reduction of open and green space.
55	2	Gary Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Oppose the decreased lot sizes.
								Opposes the connection to the wastewater system and concerned
	2	C C		.,	0		Dealing the plan shows	about additional costs to ratepayers, to deal with additional load,
55 55		Gary Cameron Gary Cameron	N N	Y V	Oppose Oppose	Wastewater Water Supply	Decline the plan change. Decline the plan change.	questions if applicant are still going to pay to upgrade. Opposes use of aquifer for water supply.
33		dary cameron	11	!	Оррозе	water Suppry	became the plan thange.	Opposes the application as the applicant have said that the plan
								change is similar to original application and it isn't. No timeline
55	1	Gary Cameron	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	provided for development
		,						Lack of recreation space not acceptable, contributions to reserves
59	3	Gary Colhoun	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	fund will get absorbed into general KDC Funding.
								Lack of clarity around wastewater, independent assessment
59	1	Gary Colhoun	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	required. Concerned about potential cost to ratepayers.
59	2	Gary Colhoun	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Lack of clarity re water supply, independent assessment required.
28	1	Geoffrey William Campbell	N	N	Oppose	Construction effects	Assurance from Kaipara Council that his property wont be adversely affected and that monitoring will be undertaken during construction to ensure compliance.	Concerned about dust, vermin and pests, land slumping/movement, pooling of water due to construction works .
		, ,			- P.P			
							Assurance from Kaipara Council that any Council Owned	
							Land between his property and the subdivision does not	
28	5	Geoffrey William Campbell	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	have its legal status changed to his disadvantage.	Land acts as buffer between the subdivision and his property.
28	2	Cooffron William Commball	l _N	N	0	Other	Requests that the zoning of his land is not changed to disadvantage or effect property value.	Conserved about any notantial regime abouts
28		Geoffrey William Campbell	IN	IN	Oppose	Other	disadvantage of effect property value.	Concerned about any potential zoning changes.
							Seeks a fence along he walkway not at his expense for	
							security purposes, and that the zoning of his land is not	
28	2	Geoffrey William Campbell	N	N	Oppose	Security	changed to disadvantage or effect property value.	Concerned about walkway and potential security issues.
								Concerned about slumping of land /land movement or liquid pooling
28	4	Geoffrey William Campbell	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	adversely affected as a result of the bore.	on his property.
127	9	Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the development on the surrounding amenity values.
								Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
127	7	Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							Delice the also shows and	Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
127		Goorgina Carro	l _N	N	sooks amondmant	Natural Hazarda	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
12/	4	Georgina Carre	14	IN	seeks amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
1							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
127	6	Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
		-						Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
127	3	Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.

		T						
127	5	Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour, considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
127		Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Wastewater		Oppose connection to KDC system, the applicant Viranda should provide its own independent wastewater treatment the number of connections in the proposed development has not been stated volume of discharge cannot therefore be quantified, No reliable evidence has been presented to establish if there is adequate capacity. There are no provisions relating to the capital costs of any works required to connect Mangawhai Central to the current system.
127	2	Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
127		Georgina Carre	N	N	seeks amendment	Whole Plan Change		Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
							Decline application until a revised housing density is	This plan change imposes a high density urban solution to a
103	2	Gerard Wooters	N	N	Seek Amendment	Amenity	provided.	rural/beach town environment.
103	1	Gerard Wooters	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Decline application until a revised housing density is provided.	Concerned that the infrastructure is not inline with residential housing. High density will make Mangawhai unbearable.
103	3	Gerard Wooters	N	N	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	Decline application until a revised housing density is provided.	No reliable evidence presented to establish adequate capacity, Council neds to decide if applicant should supply own system.
103	4	Gerard Wooters	N	N	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	Decline application until a revised housing density is provided.	Council should require an independent hydro geological assessment to understand implications for groundwater.
103	5	Gerard Wooters	N	N	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	Decline application until a revised housing density is provided.	Council should require an independent hydro geological assessment to understand implications for groundwater.
8		Gill Wharfe	N	N	Oppose	Consultation		The applicant have not acted openly by consulting the public under one plan, and then changing this to increase density of housing.
8	3	Gill Wharfe	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	No runoff is discharged into the estuary.	These changes will impact the natural environment of the estuary.
8		Gill Wharfe	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	No increase in housing.	Mangawhai already has water supply issues. Increasing housing will impact residents as water is already scarce.
104	1	Gillian Cottroll	N	N	Onnece	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change	Not enough green spaces/recreational areas. This is not what the
104	2	Gillian Cottrell	IN	IN	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	community initially supported. There are far too many houses go back to the original. This is not
104	1	Gillian Cottrell	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	what the community initially supported
70	1	Glen Real	Y	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater treatment.
70	2	Glen Real	Y	Y	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
83	А	Graeme White	N	Y	Oppose	Natural Hazards	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	provisions relating to flooding not adequate.
33		o.deine winte			o ppose		man additional information.	Opposes the density of development and concerned that the
83	3	Graeme White	N	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	maximum number of residential lots isn't stated. High density out of character.
83	5	Graeme White	N	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Opposes discharge to harbour, inadequate provisions for treatment.

								Oppose connection to wastewater system, no evidence to suggest it
								can cope with additional demand, lack of clarity in terms of number
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	of connections. Applicant should provide their own. Concerned
83	1	Graeme White	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	about additional costs to ratepayers.
								Opposes proposed water supply connection no evidence provided to
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	suggest there is capacity. Original proposal was supposed to draw
83	2	Graeme White	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	from a damn in the Brynderwyns - why was this not followed.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
84	7	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							L	Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
84	4	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
								Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
84	6	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
84	3	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
								Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
84	5	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
								Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
84	1	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	further information required that considered effects of climate
84	2	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
								to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
84	8	Graham & Gloria Drury	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
								Questions what community facilities will be provided, especially in
								regards to schools. What conversations have been had with central
177	2	Graham Bayes	Υ	У	Oppose	Community facilities	Request further information.	government.
	1							Wants to understand the proposed layout given the reduction in
177	5	Graham Bayes	Υ	У	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	Request further information.	Business/Service 7 Land.
1	1							
I								Wants to understand location of services and what will be done to
1								accommodate the development for the following: power, water,
1								potable, washdown/fire fighting including storage tanks, sewerage
1	1							disposal including any holding tanks, stormwater disposal including
177	6	Graham Bayes	Υ	У	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Request further information.	settling tanks, comms, data, any gas requirements.
								Need to understand anticipated numbers to properly plan for green
177	4	Graham Bayes	Υ	У	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Request further information.	space and recreation facilities.
1								
1			[- 60 /- 11		How is traffic circulation going to be handled including pedestrian
177	3	Graham Bayes	Υ	У	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Request further information.	movement and integration with existing road and cycle networks.
1								Need to understand what the completed development will look like -
								need layout, plans, elevations and perspectives. Requests review of
177	1	Graham Bayes	Υ	У	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Request further information.	reports.

				1			T
						Any necessary upgrades to roading, wastewater and other infrastructure should be paid for by the developer and not Kaipara Residents. If approved seeks written assurance from Council and applicants that any upgrades	The reduction of property size and increase in population will add additional strain onto 'inadequate' infrastructure. Ratepayers should
17	1 Graham Gough	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	to cater for increased demand will be at developers cost.	not have to pay for any necessary upgrades.
106	3 Grainne Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Loss of riparian areas is not supported.
				1			Low lying development, and should not be connected to already
106	1 Grainne Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	overloaded system
106	2 Grainne Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	No provision for additional water supply
				- P. P.	, , ,		Lack of strategic approach for Mangawhai need to maintain the
							identity and take holistic approach. KDC is not representing
106	4 Grainne Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	Mangawhai interests.
				Spran	- Third the state of the state	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
63	9 Grant McCarthy	v	v	Seek Amendment	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
	5 Grant Miceartiny		T'	Seek / internation	runemey		Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
63	7 Grant McCarthy	v	v	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
03	7 Grant Micearthy	<u>'</u>		Seek Amendment	illinastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
63	4 Grant McCarthy	v	v	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
03	4 Grant Niccartily	· ·	- 1	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazarus	with additional information.	Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
						Docling the plan change and require it to be re-culmitted	
63	Constant MacConthe	.,	.,	Seek Amendment	0 / 6 5		esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
63	6 Grant McCarthy	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
60	2	.,	.,				Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
63	3 Grant McCarthy	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
							Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
63	5 Grant McCarthy	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
							Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
							supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
							connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
63	1 Grant McCarthy	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
							Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							further information required that considered effects of climate
63	2 Grant McCarthy	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
							Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
							changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
							that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
							and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
63	8 Grant McCarthy	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
189	3 Grant Mitchell	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Number of housing to remain at 550.	Scale of housing will change the character of Mangawhai.
						Green spaces to be provided, not contribution to	
189	4 Grant Mitchell	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	reserves fund.	Concerned about lack of green space.
							Concerned about capacity and expenditure required to extend
189	1 Grant Mitchell	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Provide own wastewater system.	facility to accommodate the development.
							Concerned about the use of the aquifer - it is a critical resource that
							needs to be protected. All development should require rain water
189	2 Grant Mitchell	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Require Rain water tanks.	tanks. Consideration should be given to drought and climate change.
148	4 Grant O'Malley	N	N	Oppose	Estuary	seek amendment to residential intensity.	Concerned about effects on the estuary.
	,					·	Proposed density will have impact on infrastructure, requests that
148	7 Grant O'Malley	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	seek amendment to residential intensity.	the development be scaled back significantly.
148	5 Grant O'Malley	N	N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	seek amendment to residential intensity.	Concerned about effect on traffic.
	,			· P P · · · ·	· · ·	<u> </u>	
							Concerned about impact on wastewater system , assumptions made
148	8 Grant O'Malley	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	seek amendment to residential intensity.	need to be tested and verified so as to avoid costs on ratepayers.
	-1			1 - 1 - 1			

	1					T	Ta
			l	_			Concerned about the sustainability of aquifer and impact on times of
148	3 Grant O'Malley	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	seek amendment to residential intensity.	drought given it is the only local source of water.
							Opposes the loss of green space including public access along the
36	2 Grant Renall	N	N	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	None stated.	estuary front for more houses.
36	1 Grant Renall	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Opposes the minimum lot size.
36	4 Grant Renall	N	N	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	None stated.	Suggest that stormwater be captured and treated onsite and used.
36	3 Grant Renall	N	N	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	None stated.	Suggests that sewerage be dealt with on site.
							Concerned about the major changes, potential for 1400 residential
							sites, way too much for our beach town. No provisions for Tank
132	1 Heather Crosbie	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	water - Nor bores! No increase in rates.
69	5 Helen Current	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	Very concerned about stormwater run off into harbour.
69	4 Helen Current	N	N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about impact on roading.
69	2 Helen Current	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about lack of viable wastewater provision.
69	3 Helen Current	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about lack of viable waste supply provision.
- 03	5 Helen current		- 1''	Оррозс	vvater suppry	Decime the plan change.	concerned about lack of viable waste supply provision.
							Opposed the original Estuary Estates proposal and its inclusion in the
							District Plan and considered that it seeks to avoid all conditions of
							the District Plan specifically those relating to lot size, density, public
							access for walking, and roading and walking connectivity. The
							proposal should be subject to the subdivision rules of the District
							Plan. Considers it likely that the plan change is to make the land
69	1 Helen Current	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	more appealing to sell.
						Council rejects application and requires further supporting	Requests further consultation with ratepayers before entering into
78	7 Ian Fish	Υ	Υ	seeks amendment	Consultation	evidence.	any agreements re: wastewater.
							Concerned about flooding - shouldn't be building massive
						Council rejects application and requires further supporting	infrastructure in flood prone areas. Climate change needs to be
78	1 lan Fish	Y	Υ	seeks amendment	Natural Hazards	evidence.	considered.
,,,			+	seeks amenament			
						Council rejects application and requires further supporting	Does not agree with reserves contribution, plenty of area to provide
78	5 Ian Fish	v	v	seeks amendment	Open / Green Space	evidence.	for open space as part of the development and should be provided.
/8	5 Idii Fisii	Ť		seeks amenument	Open / Green space	evidence.	for open space as part of the development and should be provided.
	-l						Opposes discharge of stormwater to harbour, inadequate provision
78	3 Ian Fish	Y	Υ	seeks amendment	Stormwater	evidence.	for stormwater an notes submission on these points 15 years ago.
							Opposes connection to wastewater system due to already stretched
							capacity. Number of connections have not been stated and value of
							discharge not quantified, further information and consultation with
						Council rejects application and requires further supporting	ratepayers required before decision made. Concerned about
78	2 Ian Fish	Υ	Υ	seeks amendment	Wastewater	evidence.	discharge to harbour.
						Council rejects application and requires further supporting	Opposes water being taken from aquifer with no hydrological
78	4 Ian Fish	Υ	Υ	seeks amendment	Water Supply	evidence.	assessment, no consideration of effects of climate change.
							Concerned with dependency on cars, and concerned about timing of
						Council rejects application and requires further supporting	plan change when construction has already begun under existing
78	6 Ian Fish	v	v	seeks amendment	Whole Plan Change	evidence.	consent.
/ / /	0 1011 1 1311	<u>'</u>	+	seeks amenament	Willow Flam Change		Oppose the new revised smaller allowance, have not been given
168	3 James Bremner	v	V	0,,,,,,	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	
108	5 James Breinner	T	T	Oppose	nesidential Allottient Size	with auditional Information.	public approval.
					1		
					1	L	Oppose the changes due to proposed wastewater connections and
						_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	potential implications on ratepayers. The design of the plant may be
168	1 James Bremner	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	undersized and the development load unknown.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned with the absence of overall design information, and total
168	2 James Bremner	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	users not being defined.
							Waste water should be provided by developer at their cost alone,
					1		due to insufficient information of quantity of connections, volumes,
					1	Transparency in decision and no additional cost to	insufficient evidence from KDC that there is adequate capacity in the
128	1 James Hislop	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	ratepayers.	present plant.
123	2 34.11.63 1.13.64		1.	355000	11 3510 11610	Transparency in decision and no additional cost to	Concerned that the aquifer is being used to this extent, more
128	2 James Hislop	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	ratepayers.	evidence and figures needed to backup decision.
60	3 Jan Colhoun	Y	V	Oppose Not stated	Amenity	None stated.	Mangawhai character will be adversely affected.
		ı v	V				·
60	4 Jan Colhoun	Υ	Υ	Not stated	Ecology	None stated.	Concerned about effects on the estuary.

			1	I	1			Not enough information about the sewerage, existing system wont
60	1 Jan C	Colhoun	Υ	Y	Not stated	Wastewater	None stated.	cope.
60		Colhoun	Y	Y	Not stated	Water Supply	None stated.	Not enough information about the water supply.
			Ť	-				Concerned about the increased pressure on infrastructure that is
							That the applicant be responsible for providing	already strained (particularly in summer) and potential costs for
61	1 Jane	Geldenhuys	N	N	Not stated	Infrastructure (General)	infrastructure.	ratepayers.
9	1 Jane	Rowe	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Questions how many residential properties are proposed.
								Concerned with inadequate planning and assessment of
								infrastructure needs including drainage, wastewater, roading and car
								parking,. Needs careful planning, retention of green spaces, road
27	1 Janet	t Jacob	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	linkages, walk and cycle ways, and a green spatial look.
27	2 Janet	t Jacob	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Inadequate green space.
								There are already water problems and allowing a new commercial
								development and residential development to tap into existing
		e Rowe linked to 1st						infrastructure is not on, initial proposal was that the developer
105	1 subm	mission	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Developer should build own infrastructure.	provides their own.
i								There are already water problems and allowing a new commercial
i								development and residential development to tap into existing
	lann	e Rowe linked to 1st						infrastructure is not on, initial proposal was that the developer
105	2 subm		N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Developer should build own infrastructure.	provides their own.
103	2 50011	111331011	.,	,	оррозс	viace: supply	Developer should build own immustrateure.	provides their own.
								Opposes reduction In green space particularly along Estuary Reserve
								between Molesworth Dr and southern end of the existing nature
								vegetation area (subzone 8). Need more space and connectivity
							Requests further information , certainty and clarity	around subdivision and existing bush area. Wants confirmation that
							including independent engineering report on capacity and	the Gum diggers track will continue beyond the southern end of
107	1 Jeani	nette Reid	Υ	у	Oppose	Open / Green Space	life span of wastewater plant.	nature vegetation area and that it will be maintained.
								oppose the plan change provisions in respect of wastewater
							Requests further information , certainty and clarity	treatment, number of connection not stated. No reliable evidence to
							including independent engineering report on capacity and	suggest capacity, current information suggests insufficient capacity.
107	2 Jeani	nette Reid	Υ	У	Oppose	Wastewater	life span of wastewater plant.	No provision for capital cost of any works to upgrade the system.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
169	9 Jedda	la Kelly	У	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
169	7 Jedda	la Kelly	У	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	= -
169	4 Jedda	la Kelly	У	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
							Decline the plan shange and require it to be an experient	Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
169	6 Jedda	la Kally	[,	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing fields or courts.
109	o head:	ia nelly	У	l'	Ohhose	орен / отеен эрасе	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
169	3 Jedda	la Kelly	l,	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
103	J Jedu	iu neny	y	<u>'</u>	Оррозс	residential Anotherit 3/26	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
169	5 Jedda	la Kelly	v	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this
105	Jacadi	1	ľ					Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	= -
169	1 Jedda	la Kelly	у	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
		·	Ť l					
							Docling the plan change and require it to be so submitted	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate
169	2 Jedda	la Kally	L,	v	Onnose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
102	z pedd:	ia Kelly	У	<u> </u>	Oppose	water supply	with additional illiornation.	change on aquirer so effects on existing users can be understood.

<u> </u>			1	1	1	1	1	
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
169	8	Jedda Kelly	v	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
103		Jedda Keny	1		Оррозс	vviiole viair enange	With deditional miletimation.	Infrastructure cannot handle such intensive development. More
							Strict measures around the release of land and the	work needed on infrastructure particularly water provision, roading,
7	2	Jo Lee	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	density of development.	green spaces, septic.
<u> </u>		30 ECC		14	Оррозс	innustracture (General)	density of development.	Residential zoning is too intensive. 1700 residential sites is going to
								massively impact the town. Infrastructure cannot handle such
7	1	Jo Lee	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Site should be no less than 600m2.	intensive development.
'		JO LEE	1	IN .	Оррозе	Residential Allottilent Size	Site should be no less than ooung.	intensive development.
								Need to understand population increase to provide for key facilities
113	2	Jo Lewin	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	None stated.	for the community such as schools libraries and medical.
113	2	JO LEWIN	1	IN .	Oppose	Community facilities	None stated.	Mangawhai needs a proper, up to date structure plan that clearly
								projects relevant improvements to the current infrastructure that
								the increase in population from such a large development will
440	_		l			had a state of		present. No evidence or clear drat showing the need for additional
113	1	Jo Lewin	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	housing.
								Wants to know what is being proposed in terms of school, open
								spaces, supermarket, retirement village and walking tracks.
								Concerned that the requirements to be in line with maps 4 - 11 has
126	6	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Community facilities	Request further information.	been removed.
								Concerned about impact on current facilities such as schools doctors
126	2	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	Request further information.	and the beach.
								Concerned about the density questions what effect that will have on
								'Magical Mangawhai'. Concerned that maximum density controls do
								not apply to integrated development and queries what will be
								applied instead. Concerned that the cap has been removed (16.8.3.3)
126	4	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Request further information.	and what the new proposed cap is?
								Concerned about traffic on Molesworth Drive, questions if traffic
126	1	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Traffic / Roading	Request further information.	impact study has been undertaken.
								Concerned about impact on existing system, question if a capacity
								study has been done and who will be paying costs if upgrade is
126	3	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	Request further information.	required.
								Questions why a sustainable water source hasn't been proposed e.g.
126	7	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	Request further information.	tanks instead of bore which is already low.
								Questions why the cumulative effects have been removed from
				1	1	1		consideration in Table 16.7.4-1. Requests updated concept plans.
1				I	1	1		Questions why protection has been deleted in 16.14 and 16.15 and
126	5	Joby Beretta	N	Υ	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	Request further information.	asks what is proposed instead.
			1					
				1	1	1		KDC has not given effects to the relevant objectives of the NPS UDC
				1	1	1		in the way it has approached community consultation because it has
				I	1	1		separated the consultation facts and figures about infrastructure
144	4	Joel Cayford	lγ	I	Oppose	Consultation	None stated.	capacity, costs and who and how those matters will be provided for.
			1	<u> </u>				, , ,
				I	1	1		Concerned about the impact on infrastructure considers there to be
				1	1	1		no evidence that the application is taking a co-ordinated approach to
				I	1	1		infrastructure planning and suggests that the technical reports,
144	1	Joel Cayford	lγ	Y	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	particularly traffic is deficient.
1	-		İ	1	- p p -			1
				I	1	1		KDC has not given effects to the relevant objectives of the NPS UDC
				1	1	1		in the way it has approached community consultation because it has
				1	1	1		separated the consultation facts and figures about infrastructure
144	5	Joel Cayford	v	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	capacity, costs and who and how those matters will be provided for.
144	3	poer cayloru	<u> </u>	1'	Оррозе	nesidential Anotherit size	rione stated.	capacity, costs and who and now those matters will be provided for.

	1			1				
								Considers technical documents provided in regards to traffic to be
144	3	Joel Cayford	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	deficient particularly how it deals with effects on Molesworth Drive.
								Concerned with lack of information, and how wastewater needs for
144	2	Joel Cayford	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	the development will be met and paid for.
		·						
								Concerned about lack of development strategy for Mangawhai,
								requests supporting infrastructure and financing plans organised in
								accordance with NPS UDC prepared with the community and
								stakeholder engagement. Requests inclusion for triggers staging the
								development implementation, linked with staged provision of
144	6	Joel Cayford	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	infrastructure to avoid risk of uncontrolled growth.
							Provide further technical independent studies on the	Concerned that the existing wastewater system cannot
42	1	Johanna Baylis	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	sustainability of existing services they want to use.	accommodate the additional loading and potential impact on rates.
		·						Concerned about the proposed waster supply given the limited
42	1	Johanna Baylis	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Require roof top water collection.	availability particularly in drought.
100	3	Johanna Kloostenboer	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	None stated.	Area floods in winter, careful drainage needed.
								Concerned about the number of houses and the impact on nature,
100	2	Johanna Kloostenboer	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	concerned about character of Mangawhai getting lost.
					- FFF			
								Concerned about adverse effects on Old Waipu Road Connection and
100	1	Johanna Kloostenboer	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	amount of traffic in the village, especially in summer.
					· · ·			Landscape report gives a more favourable assessment that what is
								=
120		John Diekie	v	N	Onnece	Amanitu	Decline the plan shange	likely to occur e.g. reference to two story commercial/ retail
138	9	John Dickie	Y	N	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	development yet the proposal infers greater heights.
								No justification for the removal of education facilities from chapter
138	3	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	16.
								Concerned that the community wont be consulted in the future on
								issues such as road layout, walkways, connection and landscape
138	11	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	effects of detailed plans.
								Two sites are identified as contaminated, only one is shown on the
138	10	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Contamination	Decline the plan change.	maps, not clearly identified what will happen with soil.
								Some recommendations of the ecologist report have not been
138	8	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	followed with no reason or analysis as to why.
								Concerned about impact of loss of open space. Carrying capacity of
138	2	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	districts features already at capacity.
1								Does not consider swales to be effective management for sediment,
								suggest retention and sediment basins. Recent history of site raises
138	6	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	concern re: sediment control during construction.
								100m3/day available under the existing consent not adequate for
								1000 households proposed and commercial/industrial use. Already
138	7	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	stormwater	Decline the plan change.	pressure on water supply, particularly in drought.
								No indication as to whether the proposal will result in increased flow
								and how this will be managed. Concerned about potential costs for
138	4	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	ratepayers and what that the development contribution will be fair.
								100m3/day available under the existing consent not adequate for
								1000 households proposed and commercial/industrial use. Already
138	5	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	pressure on water supply, particularly in drought.
138	5	John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	pressure on water supply, particularly in drought.

	1				1	1	<u> </u>
							Due to its size, the development will result in significant implications
							which have nor been addresses. The application provides no
							assessment of alternatives, or assessment of how the plan change
							will affect Mangawhai and wider Kaipara District. Public comment
							indicates that a significant section of the community does not favour
138	1 John Dickie	Υ	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	what is proposed.
				1			The undue strain that will be place on the community through The
							over burdening of its water aquifers and sewage systems together
							with excess drainage and stormwater created from the proposed
97	1 John Brown	N	N	seek amendment	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	development.
37	1 John Brown	IN	IN	seek amenument	Whole Flan Change	becline the plan change.	Concerned about impact of increased residential development on
470	a Jaha Bassasa		v		Information (Community	N-A-A-A-A	I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
170	3 John Dawson	Y	Y	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Not stated	infrastructure and the environment.
							Oppose the reduction in green space and access to the originally
170	1 John Dawson	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Not stated	proposed walking track.
							Oppose as this is the third planned increase in number of residential
170	2 John Dawson	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Not stated	units.
							Concerned about the factual deficit between KDC statement that
							plant has capacity to cope with the additional households and
170	4 John Dawson	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Not stated	previous statement that it doesn't.
							Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
175	3 John Southward	Y	v	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Not stated	proposed.
175	5 John Southward	i i	+	Оррозс	imastractare (General)	THE STATES	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
175	2 John Southward	v	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Not stated	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
1/3	2 John Southward		+'	Оррозе	Residential Anotherit Size	Not stated	_
							Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
							supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
							connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
175	1 John Southward	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Not stated	treatment.
							Oppose the proposed plan change in respect of the proposed
							changes to the consenting process on the grounds that this will
							reduce the number of consents need and ability for council to
175	4 John Southward	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Not stated	effectively manage the development.
				1		Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
46	9 John Stephens	Y	Υ	Seek Amendment	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
46	7 John Stephens	v	v	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
40	7 John Stephens	'	-	Seek Amendment	illiastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							C
							Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
46	4 John Stephens	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
[Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
46	6 John Stephens	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
46	3 John Stephens	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
]				1		Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
46	5 John Stephens	lγ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
1	5,						Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
							supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
[
						Builting the plan phone and associate than he will be a	connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
		l,	.,	L	l		undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
46	1 John Stephens	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
[
							Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
[further information required that considered effects of climate
46	2 John Stephens	Y	Υ	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.

						Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
		I				
						changes to the consenting process. Blance must be maintained so
						that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
						and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
		L. L.				to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
46	8 John Stephens	Y Y	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
						The development will destroy the existing infrastructure of the
						Village and the Heads and will have an enormous adverse
						environmental effects. wastewater, water supply and water runoff
116	3 John White	Y	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	are issues that have not been addressed.
						The loss of green spaces, total absence of forward planning for
116	3 John White	Y Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	critical resources.
					† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The beach school is spilling at the seams - by increasing residential
116	2 John White	l _v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	lots - this will not help.
110	2 John White	'	Оррозе	Residential Allottilent Size	becline the plan change.	iots - this will not help.
						This has been a total marketing job where the developers have sold
						This has been a total marketing job where the developers have sold
						the community a concept and plan and vision and are now looking to
						cash in. Kaipara does not seem to be objective. Need responsible
116	1 John White	Y Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	town/ regional/ environmental planning.
91	5 Jonathan Drucker	Y	Seek Amendment	Ecology	Amend the application.	Concerned about impact on endangered species.
						Concerned about lack of infrastructure upgrades and ability to for
91	4 Jonathan Drucker	Y	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	Amend the application.	infrastructure to cope.
					Address concerns about increased number of permitted	·
91	3 Jonathan Drucker	ly ly	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	dwellings.	None stated.
91	2 Jonathan Drucker	· · · ·	Seek Amendment	stormwater	Address concerns about stormwater run off.	None stated.
91	1 Jonathan Drucker	v v	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	Address concerns about drinking water.	None stated.
91	1 Jonathan Dideker		Seek Amendment	water supply	Address concerns about drinking water.	Oppose connection to wastewater system. Concerned about
						capacity. Lack of information re: discharge volume and capacity. No
						reliable evidence to suggest the current system is adequate.
180	Josie Gritten	y Y	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Applicant should provide own system.
						Concerned about water shortages and drought. Opposes use of
						aquifer and concerned that sufficient water for the development is
						not being proposed. Lack of information including effect on consent
180	Josie Gritten	y Y	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	holders and consideration of drought and climate change.
						-
						Concerned about water shortages and drought. Opposes use of
						aguifer and concerned that sufficient water for the development is
						not being proposed. Lack of information including effect on consent
180	Josie Gritten	v v	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	holders and consideration of drought and climate change.
100	Josie dritteri	y i	Орроѕе	water supply	· ·	ž ž
	-1	L L		Information (Community		Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
74	7 Joy Murray	Y Y	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
		1				Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
						climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
74	4 Joy Murray	Y	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
						Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
		1			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
74	6 Joy Murray	ly ly	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
		1				Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
74	3 Joy Murray	_Y _V	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
- '-	S Joy Wallay	- 	Seek Amendment	nesidential Allocate III Size	With additional information.	5552.15 too saii ana wiii rain character or Mangawilai.
					Docling the plan change and require it to be so submitted	Concerned about the discharge of sadiment into the barb
7.4	5 I NA	[,],	Saala Amaandaa a	St		Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
74	5 Joy Murray	Y	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
		1				Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
1						supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
		1			1	In a construction of a construction of alteria construction and a construction of a construction of the co
						connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
					Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater

						T	
							Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
74	2 Joy Murray	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
							Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
							changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
							that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
							and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
	al	,	.,		I DI CI	, ,	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
74	8 Joy Murray	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
160	6 Judith Anne Boonh	am V	v	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Concerned that the high density development will affect the special character of Mangawhai.
100	o Juditii Ailile Booilii	aiii T	-	Оррозе	Amenity		Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
160	9 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							Concerned about adequate water supply and pressure for fire
							fighting purposes, particularly during drought. Concerned about
							flooding given proximity to the estuary and climate change - needs
160	5 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Y	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	and independent review paid for by the applicant.
							Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
450	al. 1111 a a	,	.,		0 10 0		esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
160	8 Judith Anne Boonh	dIII Y	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
							Concerned with the circ and density of development proposed
							Concerned with the size and density of development proposed, effects on the environment and village atmosphere. Need
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	certainty/cap on the number of lots. Should be 500. Concerned with
160	2 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	lot size, 350m2 too small as cant accommodate water tanks.
100				оррозс			
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
160	7 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
							Oppose proposed connection to council system, application should
							pay for their own scheme. If connection is required, further
							information is needed as to how it will cope with capacity - as
							currently the residents have been advised there is not capacity. A
							development agreement is required to address any costs so that this
160	3 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	does not fall on the residents.
							Considers water supply to be inadequate. No evidence to suggest
							that the bore has capacity to provide the volume of water for the
							development, as well as existing users particularly considering the effects of drought. No hydrological report has been provided.
							Rainwater harvesting should be required for water supply and fire
160	4 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Y	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	fighting supply.
100		·	- 1	Оррозс			O - O FF-17
							Supports many aspects of the proposal and understands that the
							existing Estuary Estate Plan has significant deficiencies however is
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	concerned with the vague and general information provided,
160	1 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	particularly in regards to the provision of infrastructure.
							Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
							changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
							that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
							and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
							consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight. Application
							must have particular regard to s32(1)(a), (b) and (c). Application fails
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to appropriately assess environmental, economic, social and cultural effects specifically on amenity values and special nature of
160	10 Judith Anne Boonh	am Y	v	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	Mangawhai.
100	10 Juditii Aime Booim	····		Ομροσε	or i iun change	The social information.	
145	4 Julie Blanchard	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Require further information and confirmation of servicing.	Requests more public consultation.
						-	

			1	1	1	T	T	C
								Concerned that the infrastructure wont be able to cope with a
					_			development of this size - will the developer be required to pay for
145	3	Julie Blanchard	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Require further information and confirmation of servicing.	any upgrade needed.
145	1	Julie Blanchard	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Require further information and confirmation of servicing.	Opposes the reduction of green space.
145	2	Julie Blanchard	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Require further information and confirmation of servicing.	Opposes the increase of properties as will harm the environment.
								Section sizes are too small and not consistent with a community like
206	1	Julie Monaghan	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Mangawhai. It will end up looking like Hobsonville Point.
	_							Get the town infrastructure right first before thinking about
								expanding residential unneccesarily. The proposal takes more water
								and puts pressure on sewerage system that has caused the town so
200	2	tulia Managahan	L.	.,	0	Lafarata at a caracteria (Caraca and N	Dealine the plan shows and an init the printed vision	- · ·
206	2	Julie Monaghan	N	IN	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change and revisit the original vision.	much anguish over capacity previously.
								There is no benefit to the existing community simply a money
								making venture for Viranda and the council not acting in the best
122	1	Juliet Pendleton	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	interest of the community.
								Questions what the developers obligations are to provide
								greenspace and 'community projects' . Greenspaces must be
								retained including Gum diggers track and other open space along the
121	3	Kara Stones	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	estuary.
					- 1-1-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Concerned about housing density and impacts on the community
121	1	Kara Stones	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	and environment.
121		Raia Stories		14	Оррозс	Residential Anothere Size	None stated.	Developer must pay for any necessary upgrades, cost should not fall
121	-	Kana Chanas	L.	.,	0	St	Name about a	
121	5	Kara Stones	N	IN	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	on ratepayers.
								Concerned about traffic congestion on ring road and impact on
121	6	Kara Stones	N	N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	access to local amenities.
								Developer must pay for any necessary upgrades, cost should not fall
121	4	Kara Stones	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	on ratepayers.
								Concerned about use of groundwater in drought prone environment,
								aquifer is limited sections should be large enough to accommodate
121	2	Kara Stones	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	water tanks. Water related costs must not fall on ratepayers.
141		Karl Kadlec	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	Wetlands need to be protected.
171		Ruii Ruuiee	1	14	Оррозс	Ecology	became the plan change.	Need to provide their own wastewater system, KDC scheme already
1.41	1	Karl Kadlec	N.	NI.	0,,,,,,	Mastauatar	Decline the plan shange	
141		Karl Kadlec	N	IN .	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	at capacity.
141			N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Water needs to be addressed.
203	1	Katherine Ballantyne	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	Main concerns are to do with water and waste water.
				1		1		Oppose the application on the grounds that the aquifer will not
				1		1		support the development without risking our emergency water and
				1		1		that the waste water system does nothave the capacity for that
204	1	Katherine Ballantyne	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	many new connections.
193	4	Kathy Gordon	n	N	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	High density development will ruin Mangawhai character.
193	5	Kathy Gordon	n	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about school capacity.
193		Kathy Gordon	n	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Oppose proposal as no cap on number of residential units.
133	3	,	 	†				Oppose connection to wastewater system, applicant should provide
				1		1		their own. No evidence to suggest capacity. Told by Andrew Guest
403		Kathy Gordon	l .	N	0,,,,,,,	Mastawator		==
193	1	Kathy Gordon	"	IN	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	applicant would provide wastewater.
	_			l		l		Oppose proposed water supply, does not consider it adequate
193	2	Kathy Gordon	n	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	particularly during drought.
				I		1		
58	4	Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Amenity	None stated.	Small lot size not in keeping with special character of the area.
				1		1		Wetland protection area should be 31ha and shows stormwater
				I		1		ponds in subzone 8 area which should be protected. Stormwater and
58	6	Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	None stated.	silt should not run into protected wetland.
30			1	t		-57		
58	5	Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Concerned with lack of provision for parks to enhance the area.
58		Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Oppose the increase in residential unity, lots are too small.
				LIN	Oppose	mesidential Anothrent 3128	prone stateu.	poppose the increase in residential UHILY, IULS die LUU SHIdil.

	-					1	T	
								Oppose connection to wastewater system, and concerned with
								potential costs to ratepayers. Development should provide their own
58	1	Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	system. Not clear how may connections are required.
-					Срросо			Oppose the change to water supply, residential units should have
								tanks. Research required into reservoir capacity and effects of
58	2	Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	climate change e.g. drought.
50		natic menalus			оррозс	тисе зарр.у	Trone stated.	Disappointing that KDC are supporting the changes, they will
58	7	Katie Richards	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	damage the character and environment, and cost ratepayers.
50	- 1	natic menalas		.,	оррозс	Trible Flatt change	Trone stated.	admage the tharacter and environment, and cost ratepayers.
								The development should be required to provide things that are
								currently lacking such as commercial centre, parks, schools, medical
22	5	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	centre, shops and services. Not put more strain on existing.
	-				oppose.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Mangawhai lacks infrastructure - Roads, water supply, schools,
								shops, parking. This will not be improved with yet another large
22	3	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	development.
					оррозс			Mangawhai lacks infrastructure - Roads, water supply, schools,
								shops, parking. This will not be improved with yet another large
22	4	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	development.
	1			1		and a state of the	and the same of th	Submitter suggest no more houses on small density lots are needed,
						1		concerned that lots are not large enough to provide water storage
22	2	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	for fire fighting supply.
22	-							Wastewater system will need to be increased to cope, this cost must
22	1	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	be covered by the developer.
					- 1-1-			Concerned that water supply will 'grossly' effect the aquifer,
								Mangawhai water supply is already inadequate and affected by
								drought. Another development should not be allowed until water
22	7	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	storage in place.
					Срросо	,		The development was supposed to be sustainable and managed to
								ensure minimal impact. As proposed, the development would be sub
22	6	Ken Marment	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	standard development.
					- 1-1-			Oppose 16.8.2.2 especially regarding zone 3D and the increase in
								density of Lots and 16.8.2.5 max height allowable, concerned about
								impact on their property - much higher than what was originally
172	1	Kevin Platt	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	anticipated.
								Concerned about the effect of water extraction on the water table.
								Concerned about effects on the water supply they currently use for
172	2	Kevin Platt	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	stock.
								Should be kept at this size to be in keeping with surrounding sections
1	2	Lance Cocker	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Residential sections should be minimum 1050m2.	and as per the district plan.
								Concerned about runoff and damage this may cause on waterways.
1	1	Lance Cocker	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	The estuary must be protected.
								Considers there will be significant negative impact on critical
135	2	Lawrence Lowe	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	ecological and environmental issues.
								proposed development will adversely impact the current
						1		infrastructure of the Village and the Heads. Including but not limited
						1		to traffic control, noise issues, water storage and management,
135	1	Lawrence Lowe	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	waste management, sewage management and public green space.
								Minimum size of 350m2 is too small and will change the special
161	J	Linda Ritchie	N	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	character of Mangawhai.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned about proximity of development to estuary and
161	ļ	Linda Ritchie	N	Υ	Oppose	Ecology	with additional information.	environmental effects.
								Opposes the reduction of green space and inadequate provision of
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	recreational activities. Green space provided is inadequate for level
161		Linda Ritchie	N	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	of development.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned that the maximum number of lost is not stated, and that
161	ļ	Linda Ritchie	N	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	it has increased. Minimum size of 350m2 is too small.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
161		Linda Ritchie	N	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	Concerned about run off into harbour during construction.
							·	

				•			
							High density will ruin the character of Mangawhai. Density is better
							than spawl but the application understate the effect on character,
198	1 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and request further information.	natural features of the land
							Concerned about flooding. Area is low lying 3m leaves little scope for
198	2 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and request further information.	combined events (high tide/high rainfall) - further research required.
							Concerned about lack of provision for education needs given the
198	3 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change and request further information.	increase in population.
198	4 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change and request further information.	Concerned that there is no provision for solar power.
							Inadequate provision for recreational activities, unclear where
198	5 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change and request further information.	esplanade reserve is.
							Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
198	6 Lisa Marshall	Y	У	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change and request further information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
							Oppose discharge into harbour, inadequate provisions of treatment
198	7 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and request further information.	provided.
							Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
							supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
							connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
198	8 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change and request further information.	treatment.
							Oppose the change to water supply provision. NRC consent is for a
							finite amount of water and no predicted usage for development.
							Rainwater harvesting not reliable and susceptible to drought.
198	9 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and request further information.	Concerned about impact on emergency supply for Mangawhai.
							Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
							changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
							that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
							and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
198	10 Lisa Marshall	Υ	У	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change and request further information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
							Concerned that sewerge and water supply infrastructure cannot
205	1 Lisa Steiner	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	cope. Also concerned about odour from chemicals for effluent dam.
							I highly disagree with the lack of riparian rights / queens chain and
					0 15 -		the amount of parks and or green and for the proposed number of
117	3 Lukas Kendall	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	housing
							Highly disagree with house sites being 300-350sm when we live in a
							rural town. Highly disagree with over 1000+ houses getting jammed
117	2 Lukas Kendall	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	into such a small area.
1 .		L	L			L	I highly disagree storm water and excess run off to drain directly into
117	1 Lukas Kendall	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	the estuary.
1			L				I highly disagree that they want to hook into our overstrained
117	4 Lukas Kendall	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	wastewater system.
							I highly disagree with every thing this subdivision stands for. This is
I			L				not the Mangawhai way and will change the character and way of
117	5 Lukas Kendall	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	life.
	a Marda, NOV				A it.	Dealine and limited in account 6	Consequence of the state of the
101	2 Madara Vilde	Υ	У	Oppose	Amenity	Decline application in current form.	Concerned about the impact of proposal on landscape values.

			ı	1			T	
101		Madara Vilde	Y	у	Oppose	Ecology	Decline application in current form.	Concerned about ecological matters and highlights inaccuracies within Ecology Assessment prepared by Freshwater Solutions. Considers it to be based on outdated information. Submission identifies that the wetland area contains species of regional and national significance, and critically endangered species, and requests further survey and mitigation measures to prevent impacts from increased anthropic pressures. Considers that there are areas of habitat for a number endangered/at risk species and should be considered significant. Consideration hasn't been given to NPS Freshwater. Ecological assessment fails to consider impacts from stormwater run off, requests and ecology addendum assessing actual effects from cumulative impacts. Considers that a better environmental outcome could be achieved if
101	3 1	Madara Vilde	Υ	У	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline application in current form.	residential density remains reduced and includes integrated design
182	ı	Mangawhai Central Limited Mangawhai Harbour Restoration Society	Y	y	Support Oppose	Whole Plan Change Stormwater	Plan change be approved subject to consequential amendments outlined in submission. Ensure adequate safeguards in place during construction phase.	Submitter seeks a number of consequential amendments to various chapters. Concerned about sediment discharge int the harbour, requests that it be monitored by a third party to ensure water quality not impacted.
191		Mangawhai Recreational Charitable Trust	у	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space		Structure plan only shows the walk/cycle way reaching the southern end of the 'nature vegetation' were it reaches the northern boundary. EESP has more than 3 possible connections to the Esplanade reserve but this has been reduced with no justification. 16.8.8.1 - no mention of the formed walk/cycle track within zone 8 - will this be preserved? 16.7.1-3 boardwalks have been crossed out with no reason, it is noted that car parks etc could be formed, but only if it is vested as recreational reserve which means MC divest themselves of doing anything in terms of recreation etc/ 16.5.1 again divest MC from making ANY contributions to any so called 'green network' when on a development of this size and nature would be expected. It is noted new lots will attract reserve contributions, but these don't ensure green space or connectivity.
51	1 [Maralynne Latu	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater		Lives across road from the pump station, 'overpowering' odour is currently generated at peak times. Also concerned it will overflow into the estuary, wants to understand what study there has been done into potential effects on the wildlife. Questions where the community facilities have gone, and considered
								that the application is no longer what was supported by the
35	1 1	Mark Macdonald	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	None stated.	community. Identifies lack of industrial land and questions if this will be supplied
35	6	Mark Macdonald	N	N	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	None stated.	by KDC elsewhere.
35	4 1	Mark Macdonald	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	Concerned about the impact on infrastructure including car parking, wastewater and water supply and the impact that will have on ratepayers.
								Concerned about the lack of green space so as to provide for quality
35	2 1	Mark Macdonald	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	of life, particularly where such small lot sizes are proposed.
35	3 1	Mark Macdonald	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Questions if the 'incredibly small' lot sizes fit with the vision for Mangawhai.
35			N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	Identifies water supply as a key concern that needs to be focused not, the proposal should have to source its own water particularly given the community already experiences drought issues. Questions whether climate change has been considered.
166		Mark Watson Rowbotham	v	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Revision of clauses regarding open space and connectivity.	Concerned about lack of requirement to create open space. Concerned with Deletion of 16.8.1.2, 16.14, 16.8.1.3, 16.8.1.4.
100	ľ	WIGH WALSON NOWDONINGIN	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ohhose	open / Green Space	connectivity.	Concerned with Defetion of 10.0.1.2, 10.14, 10.6.1.3, 10.6.1.4.

166	Mark Watson Rowboth	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Reduction ins density, especially subzone 3A.	16.8.2.2 is not appropriate density level.
				· PP · · ·			,
						Confirmation that the wastewater system has capacity for	
						residential and commercial area, and re-evaluation of	Insufficient investigation. Calculation in 6.1.19 of the AEE do not
166	Mark Watson Rowboth	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	calculations for volumes.	reflect the possible yields as per table 16.8.2.2.
						A review of total water available and in comparison to	review of water quality talked about in AEE 6.7.24 will show
						total yield in table 16.8.2.2 and investigation into using	suggested water treatment to be insufficient. 6.7.26 water calc don't
166	Mark Watson Rowboth	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	some of the treated water from the wastewater plant	reflect total achievable yield.
							AEE talks about aims and objectives etc of NRC and NZCPS but have
							removed obligations in Chapter 16 to met any of the responsibilities.
							AEE incorrectly states no protection order over zone 8. Agree with
166	Mark Watson Rowboth	am Y	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Relief is sought to give effect to this submission.	conclusion in AEE in 3.2.3 - 3.2.6.
98	6 Martina Tschirky	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	None stated.	Inadequate in the rural setting.
98	5 Martina Tschirky	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	concerned with loss of recreation and green space.
98	4 Martina Tschirky	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	Concerned with stormwater.
							Disappointed that the developer has reneged on making Molesworth
98	2 Martina Tschirky	Υ	Y	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	into a slow street.
	2 84	ļ.,	l,	0	Water Count	None stated	
98	3 Martina Tschirky	Y	Y	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Concerned with proposed use of bore water instead of water tanks.
98	1 Martina Tschirky	Y	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	extremely disappointed' with the development.
							oppose the plan change provision regarding residential lots because
						Doguests further information, cortainty and clarity	the maximum number of residential lots is not stated. Rule 16.8.2.2
						Requests further information , certainty and clarity	currently sets limit at 500 residential units. But there is talk of up to
118	2 Mary Hurlay Brown	v	v	0,000	Residential Allotment Size	including independent engineering report on capacity and life span of wastewater plant.	1000 dwellings or ore. This high density does not fit with rural location of Mangawhai.
110	2 Mary Hurley Brown	ľ	T	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	life span of wastewater plant.	location of Mangawhai.
							I oppose the plan change provision regarding the wastewater
						Requests further information , certainty and clarity	treatment by connecting with the KDC's existing treatment system,
							applicant should provide own facility. No indication of number of
118	1 Mary Hurley Brown	Y	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	life span of wastewater plant.	connections so no way of knowing volume or if the system can cope.
	I many maney brown	i i		Оррозс	Wastewate.	ine span of wasterrater plants	Proposal misses the opportunity to develop a sustainable and unique
							town centre that reflects the character of the surrounding
93	5 Maylene Lai	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	None stated.	environment, 350m2 is too small.
	Í			- PF	·		Questions how the community consultation and feedback has been
93	2 Maylene Lai	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Consultation	None stated.	taken into account.
							Questions how thoroughly the environut impacts on the estuarine
93	3 Maylene Lai	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Ecology	None stated.	environment and wetlands have been considered.
							Questions where the detailed solutions are for infrastructure and the
93	4 Maylene Lai	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	None stated.	resulting pressures on the community by this development.
							The merits of the earlier plan deserves careful reconsideration.
	L		l			L	Queries how increased density and smaller retail can be more
93	1 Maylene Lai	Υ	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	viable.
		.,	l,			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
162	Melanie Jane Gallo	Y	Y	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	Concerned about impact on amenity and environment.
							Concerned about impact on cabacle due to include and
						Docling the plan change and require it to be as submitted	Concerned about impact on schools due to inadequate provision. Should be a pool and entertainment facilities. The proposal fails to
162	Melanie Jane Gallo	v	l _v	Onnoco	Community facilities	with additional information.	provide consensus position in line with local community needs.
102	ivietatile Jatie GdIIO	ľ		Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	provide consensus position in line with local confininglity fleeds.
162	Melanie Jane Gallo	v	Y	Oppose	Parking	with additional information.	Parking is an issue.
102	ivicianie Jane Gano	- '	- 	оррозс	. urking		Oppose the proposed water supply as will be inadequate. Minimal
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
162	Melanie Jane Gallo	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	who will pay?
			T I		· ·		* F: (*)
			1				Concerned about lack of information and quantification. No incentive
							for developers , council or government to deliver on community
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	for developers , council or government to deliver on community infrastructure as it has been presented on Mangawhai Central
162	Melanie Jane Gallo	Υ	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	

		1		I	T	1	Oppose any changes to Viranda's original submission that allow
25	1 Miguel Hamber	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Reject the application for increased housing density.	increased density of housing.
25	3 Miguel Hamber	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Council to assess the costs of scaling up the current sewerage system to meet the needs of the development and any necessary upgrades to charged to Viranda.	Oppose any changes to Viranda's original submission that allow disproportionate use of the aquifer.
						Council to engage an independent consultant (and	
						Viranda to fund) to carry out a full new investigation on	
2-	200					the current capacity of the aquifer and the long term	Oppose any changes to Viranda's original submission that allow
25	2 Miguel Hamber	IN	IN	Oppose	Water Supply	effects of the proposal.	disproportionate use of the aquifer. Council to stop treating Mangawhai like a cash cow and consider
							long-term future effects to be a higher priority than short-term gains
25	3 Miguel Hamber	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	through increased rates.
							High density and lack of greenspace not in keeping with the rural
130	1 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Amenity	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	environment of the area.
							Concerned about the cancelling of community facilities, particularly
130	6 Mike Ferguson	v	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	schools, shows lack of future proofing. Proposal no longer provides any community good.
150	o itime rengason	i i		оррозс	community rudinities	beame plan change and recam the carrent provisions.	Requests further consultation that includes weekends and evenings
130	10 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	to allow full contribution.
							Removal/lack of buffer areas contradicts the communities push on
130	7 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Ecology	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	predator control.
							Increased level of development overburden existing infrastructure the cost of this will no only costs existing ratepayers but not be fairly
130	5 Mike Ferguson	Y	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	distributed through Kaipara.
				- PP	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Concerned with loss of riparian access to fishing spots, and lack of
							boundary reserves. Concerned this will put more pressure on
					_ ,		ecological areas by not providing corridors for native species, and
130	2 Mike Ferguson	Y	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	take away historic rights.
							Density will adversely effect landscape, no covenants preserving the
							unique environment or allowing for the type of housing required
130	8 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	resulting in potential low cost slum housing. No minimum standards.
		1.	L				Concerned that the harbour will be adversely effected by
130	3 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	stormwater runoff particularly due to lack of riparian areas.
							Concerned about the change in the water table and the effect on the community and any ability to draw from the aquifer for the greater
130	4 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	needs of the community in drought.
	_						· -
							Concerned with lack of environmental planning for the sustainability
							of Mangawhai communities unique landscape and heritage.
							Requests an independent commission. Wants assurance that any future costs resulting from Council decision will be spread amongst
130	9 Mike Ferguson	Υ	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline plan change and retain the current provisions.	all rate payers not just Mangawhai.
	3						Maintain current character of Mangawhai and surrounds, current
							limit of 500 on allowable number of residential units (Chapter
119	1 Mike Taylor	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Seek amendment.	16.8.2.2. should be retained.

			1					1
								An independent (from Council and the Applicant) assessment is
								required to validate the assumptions and undertakings relative to
119	2	Mike Taylor	N	N	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	Seek amendment.	wastewater contained in Sec 6.7.17-6.7.22.
								Submission timeframe should have been extended in light of COVID.
								Concerned that the communities concerns have not adequately been
							That KDC do not enter into an agreement with the	considered. Council must act with integrity and transparency.
131	1	Moira Jackson	Υ	Υ	seek amendment	Consultation	developer.	Further consultation required.
								Concerned with reduction of green space from what had been
								approved; the amenities have been reduced to walking and cycling
							That KDC do not enter into an agreement with the	tracks with no pans for other amenities such as park, playgrounds
131	3	Moira Jackson	Υ	Υ	seek amendment	Open / Green Space	developer.	and playing fields.
							That KDC do not enter into an agreement with the	
131	3	Moira Jackson	Υ	Υ	seek amendment	Wastewater	developer.	Concerned that the infrastructure does not have the capacity.
								Concerned about impact on aquifer and consideration of drought
							That KDC do not enter into an agreement with the	impacts. Questions if there has been any data modelling and
131	2	Moira Jackson	Υ	Υ	seek amendment	Water Supply	developer.	concerned about impact on current users.
								Feels as though the proposed changes are major and the land is in a
							That KDC do not enter into an agreement with the	strategic location with issues relating to infrastructure, and
131	4	Moira Jackson	Υ	Υ	seek amendment	Whole Plan Change	developer.	environmental concerns. Further community input is needed.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
111	3	Myra Squire	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
						1		Request that adequate provision be made for several outlets to the
								Heads and Village area rather than being focused on Molesworth
							1 - 1	Drive, specific residential intensity neds to be provided to enable
111	4	Myra Squire	N	N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	with additional information.	traffic volumes and access to be planner and avoid traffic jams and
								Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
111	1	Myra Squire	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							1 - 1	further information required that considered effects of climate
111	2	Myra Squire	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
								Concerned about the loss of the gum diggers track which was gifted
23		Natalie Bray-Gunn	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	to the community, and the lack of Queens Chain.
23	1	Natalie Bray-Gunn	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Opposes additional houses.
								Concerned sewage system wont handle the additional loading. The
23	3	Natalie Bray-Gunn	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	community should not have to pay for new pump stations.
								Opposes water being taken from aquifer that supplies the
23	2	Natalie Bray-Gunn	N	N	Oppose	Water supply	None stated.	community.
								Concerned with the provisions relating to flooding, 3m ASL leaves
	1		1					little scope for combined events. Further research required to
174	4	Neil Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	for extreme events.	quantify margin.
			1					
							Provisions to be reviewed and greater margins provided	Concerned with proposed stormwater management, considers it
174	5	Neil Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	for extreme events.	inadequate. Concerned about fun off and siltation of harbour.
	1		1					Oppose connection to the wastewater system, existing system is
						1		already near capacity, development details not yet finalised and
	1		1					could place huge demand on system. Must be considered in creation
	1							to the size for impact to the existing system. Any upgrade should not
174	2	Neil Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Applicant provides their own scheme.	cost the development. More evidence required.
	1							Oppose the changes in terms of water provision. NRC consent is for a
	1		1					finite amount of aquifer water but there is no indication of predicted
						1		usage. Rainwater harvesting in tanks is not reliable nor quantifiable
							Feasibility of proposed water supply quantified in greater	amount of water. Using aquifer as base water could impact
		Neil Torrie	N	lv	Oppose	Water Supply	detail.	emergency source for community.
174	3	Nell Torrie	IN	1.				

			1	1		T	1	Onness the avenesed also shangs in respect of the avenesed
								Oppose the proposed plan change in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process on the grounds that this will
								reduce the number of consents need and ability for council to
174	1	Neil Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Not stated	effectively manage the development.
								Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
82	7	Neil Wilson	N	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
82	4	Neil Wilson	N	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
					• •			Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
82	6	Neil Wilson	N	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
- 02		THE THIS CH			оррозс	open, oreen space		Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
82	3	Neil Wilson	N	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
02	3	IVEII VVIISOII	IN .		Оррозе	Residential Anothrent Size	with additional information.	3301112 13 too 3111aii aha wiii Fairi Character Of Wangawiiai.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-culpmitted	Conserved about the discharge of sediment into the harbour
	_	a. dage	l	.,		s	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
82	5	Neil Wilson	N	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
				I		1		Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
				1				supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
				1				connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
								undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
82	1	Neil Wilson	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
82	2	Neil Wilson	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
								<u> </u>
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							Desline the also shows and associative to be as subscitted	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	_						_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
82	8	Neil Wilson	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
125	9	Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
125	7	Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
125	4	Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
			1	İ				Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
				1			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
125	6	Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
123	- 0		f .	F.				Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
125	2	Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
125	3	THICK COITE	1"	··	occa Amenament	nesidential Anothlent 3/28	with additional information.	550m2 is too small and will rulli chalacter of Mangawila.
				I		1	Docling the plan change and require it to be an exhaulted	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
125	_	Niek Corre	l _N	l.	Sock Amondment	Charmunatar	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=
125	5	Nick Carre	IN	IN	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
				1		1		Oppose connection to KDC system, the applicant Viranda should
				1		1		provide its own independent wastewater treatment the number of
				I		1		connections in the proposed development has not been stated
				I		1		volume of discharge cannot therefore be quantified, No reliable
				1		1		evidence has been presented to establish if there is adequate
				1				capacity. There are no provisions relating to the capital costs of any
				I		1	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	works required to connect Mangawhai Central to the current
125	1	Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	with additional information.	system.
			1	1		1		
				1				Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
				1			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
125	າ	Nick Carre	N.	N	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
123	2	INICK COLLE	117	114	Seek Amenament	water Juppiy	with additional information.	change on aquiter 30 effects on existing users can be understood.

	<u> </u>	1				T	
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
125	8 Nick Carre	N	N	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
48	4 Nicky Crocker	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	housing gin this area should not be increased above the 300-350 originally discussed.
48	3 Nicky Crocker	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Infrastructure cannot cope.
48	2 Nicky Crocker	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Infrastructure cannot cope.
48	1 Nicky Crocker	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	Does not think it will be good for Mangawhai community, changes have not been discussed with ratepayers.
40	I INICKY CIOCKEI	IN .	IN	Орроѕе	Whole Flatt Change	Decline the plan change.	nave not been discussed with ratepayers.
44	2 Nigel Slight	N	N	Seek Amendment	Industrial / Commercial Land	Maintain full commercial area for future growth.	Concerned with the reduction in commercial land.
	d Nicel Clicks		N	Carl Amandanas	Beside whiel Allehouse & Cine	Line is the country of our Heading	Number of small sections should be capped and designated e.g. how
44	1 Nigel Slight	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Limit the number of small sections.	many are reserved for terrace houses and retirement village. Intensive development so close to the estuary risks long term
157	1 NZ Fairytern trust	Y	v	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	adverse effects on ecology of the estuary and downstream consequences for the feeding areas of he NZ fairy Turn - NZ's rarest endemic breeding bird. Mangawhai is its most significant breeding area.
							Concerned about the lack of consultation on the changes with the
62	1 Paul David Rae	N	Υ	Oppose	Consultation	None stated.	community.
							Concerned about untreated stormwater running into estuary and impact on the fairy turns and bittern and potential additional costs to
62	2 Paul David Rae	N	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	ratepayers.
86	4 Paul Hendrickx	Y	Y	seeks amendment	Amenity	None stated.	Urban design assessment does not consider the Mangawhai Community Development Plan and the desires of that plan regarding housing typology.
							Wants council to require land parcels for open space not financial contribution so that it can be properly planned e.g. spots field on the
86	5 Paul Hendrickx	Y	Y	seeks amendment	Open / Green Space	None stated.	flat.
86	2 Paul Hendrickx	Y	Y	seeks amendment	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Zone 3A at 350m2 is too small for the rural coastal village and is contrary to the Mangawhai community plan that was developed with the community. Particularly object to 3A high density zone in Molesworth causeway and tara creek foreshore - should be open space/park to augment amenity.
95	2 Paul War dride	V	l v		Tuffis / Doubling		Oppose the North South Main street orientation with the predominant wind, will result in cold 'dismal' shopping centre. Estuary Estate plan had East/west argument proposed that this would be too shady is not convincing. Concerned with general
86	3 Paul Hendrickx	Y	Y	seeks amendment	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	roading layout. Detailed independent report needs t be commissioned to
							understand capacity. Applicant must be accountable for any
86	6 Paul Hendrickx	Υ	Υ	seeks amendment	Wastewater	None stated.	additional costs, not the ratepayers.
							Supports the current estuary estate plan with zone of permitted
86	1 Daul Handridge	V	V	cooks amandmant	Whole Blan Change	None stated	activities with application of resource consent to pursue
49	1 Paul Hendrickx 2 Paul Walyon	N N	N N	seeks amendment Oppose	Whole Plan Change Wastewater	None stated. None stated.	discretionary activities. Opposes wastewater supply.
49	1 Paul Walyon	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	Opposes wastewater supply.
				255			Does not support application, not the development that it was supposed to be. Questions where the spatial plan for Mangawhai is
99	1 Paul Wightman	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline and undertake proper consultation.	and if this would be aligned.
173	1 Peggyann Colville	N	v			Grant the application on the condition that sufficient greenspace is included.	
1/3	T Legghami Colvine	IN	Ť	Seek amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Grant the application on the condition that a proper and	Concerned with the additional housing on smaller sections.
173	2 Peggyann Colville	N	Υ	Seek amendment	Wastewater	full investigation is carried out re capacity, and ensure no additional costs to ratepayers.	Concerned with the additional load on wastewater system.
	_						

Seek amendment. Seek amendment. With the Mangawhais settlement and the character defined by modest density and extensive vegetation cover. Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure of alternative levels of residential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a provision of green space in keeping with the character will be assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal into asset management and long term plans. 154 5 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give in the casus severation of green space in keeping with the character of the size. 154 2 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give in the casus severation of age and fraing group in growth in a constitution of a page and fraing group in growth in a constitution of the size. Requests a review of training and the policy and the casus severation of a page and fraing group in a require it to be re-submitted Oppose to the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of		1					T	T T
24.2 Peter stakes 7 Y N Oppose Open / seron space Seelant the plan charge. Concerned about for originar ansats. 6. Open from Number 1 Y Y Oppose Consideration None stated. Open from Space Processing of the Number 1 Y Y Oppose Number 1 Y Y Oppose Number 1 Y Y Oppose Consideration None stated. Open from Space Processing of the Number 1 Y Y Oppos	143	1 Peter Bankers	Υ	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about impact on infrastructure and future requirements.
Prior Michales V	143	2 Peter Bankers	Υ	N		Open / Green Space		
S pheter Nicholack V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation Work French Costantiation V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Notice V V V Seek Ammendment Costantiation V V V Seek Notice					o p p o o o	opan, and opan		
6. 6) Peter Nicholas V V Seek Ameredinated Connumbration More Stated. Other Seek duity on recomplication for international parties to respond. 48								Questions why the notice was given during lockdown, considers this
at 1 of Peter Richitorias V V Desa Amendanced Organ / General Speech College of Communication of National Association of Conference of Confere	68	6 Peter Nicholas	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Consultation	None stated.	
as 1 force finchalas 7 Y Y Sout Amendment Size Note tabled. Consisted Consis			Y	Y				
St 3 Profess Prochodes Y Y Seek Amendment Stormwater Nove stated Dest study was accommanded on north			Y	Y				
See Performance V Seek Amendment Seek stand S			Y	Y				
Solution Solution			Y	y Y				
a person fichibolis Y V seek Amendment Michole Plant Change 175	- 55	2 Feter Menoles	T .	- 1	Seek / interiorient	- Trastewater	None stated.	
176 3 Peter Rothwell V V Oppose Ectory McSated company to the has not beer againer and will lower for the following and the company of the has not beer againer and will lower following and the peter following the following and the company of the following and the company of the following and the fol	68	5 Peter Nicholas	v	v	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	None stated	
176 3 Peter Rothwell Y V Oppose Ecology Most stated ecological effects which have not be adequately considered, 176 4 Peter Rothwell Y V Oppose Infrastructure (General) Not stated Update in his many adequately additionated in a proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will brain department of the proposed density in ord consistent will be proposed density in ord consistent will be proposed density in ord consistent will be proposed density in ord consistent density or the proposed density in ord consistent density or the proposed density in ord consistent density or the proposed density in ord consistent density and density of visited not advantage due to small use. 176	08	3 recentions	'		Seek Amendment	Whole Half change	None stated.	varies from the Wangawitar Fair.
176 3 Peter Rothwell V V Oppose infrastructure (General) Not stated ecological effects which have not be adequately considered. 176 4 Peter Rothwell V V Oppose infrastructure (General) Not stated in the produce density in ord consistent will brain department of the produced density in ord consistent will brain department. 176 3 Peter Rothwell V V Oppose Stormweller Not stated in the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the st								20m sotback from spring tide has not been applied and will leave to
176 A Peter Rothweld Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) Not stated Light pollution has not been adequately addressed. Proposed destills in not interest the 1,500m2 for consistent with District Imp., 300m2 for consistent with the restrict glowelpopera in Anagyarval and in the 176 Proposed destills in not susted Proposed destills in not susted what residents have based for consistent with the restrict glowelpopera in Anagyarval and in the 176 Proposed destills in not susted what residents have based for consistent with the restrict glowelpopera in Anagyarval and in the 176 Proposed destill in not resident have been designately addressed, and string sequition of consistent with the extraction of the proposed with the string development in Anagyarval and the restriction of the proposed of the resident in the string development in Anagyarval and the restriction of the proposed of the resident in the proposed of the resident in the string development of the string development of the consistent and the proposed of the resident in the proposed of the	176	2 Dates Dathwall			0,,,,,,	Faelogy	Netstated	. =
Peter Rothwell Y			У	У				
176 3 neter Rothwell V V Oppose Residential Allotment Stor Not stated what resides have asked for, 176 2 neter Rothwell V V Oppose Stormwater Not stated State do not allow for stormwater coalage due to small size. 176 5 Not stated State do not allow for stormwater coalage due to small size. 176 5 Not stated State do not allow for stormwater coalage due to small size. 176 5 Not stated State do not allow for stormwater coalage due to small size. 176	1/6	4 Peter Rothwell	У	У	Oppose	infrastructure (General)	Not stated	
176 1 Peter Rothwell Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size Not stated what residents have sade for								
176 2 Neter Rothwell Y Y Oppose Stormwater Not stated Stee do not allow for stormwater soakage due to small size.								= ' =
Total Section Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Water Supply Not stated cope.			У	У				
176 5 Peter Rothwell V V Oppose Water Supply Not stated Concerned about the revised mix of housing and the relationship with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character defined by with the Mangaywhal settlement and the character of alternative levels of electerating provision and the inclusion of a packet mangaywhal which may require more conservable density. 154	176	2 Peter Rothwell	У	У	Oppose	Stormwater	Not stated	
Concerned about the revised mix of housing and the relationship with the Mangawhal settlement and the character offered by modest dentily and ententive vegetation cover. Philip James McDermott								Supply of water not adequately addressed, existing aquifer wont
Seek amendment. Seek amendment. with the Mangawhais settlement and the character defined by modest density and extensive vegetation cover. Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure of alternative levels of residential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating to you costs will be mark requests a review of policy infrastructure of alternative levels of residential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating they coved swill be made the inclusion of a policy indicating they coved swill be made to policy indicating they coved swill be made to policy indicating they coved to the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal rich assessment of the development on infrastructure (General) 154	176	5 Peter Rothwell	У	У	Oppose	Water Supply	Not stated	cope.
Seek amendment. Seek amendment. With the Mangawhais settlement and the character defined by modest density and extensive vegetation cover. Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure of alternative levels of residential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a review of policy indicating how costs will be made. Requests a provision of green space in keeping with the character will be assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal into asset management and long term plans. 154 5 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give in the casus severation of green space in keeping with the character of the size. 154 2 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give in the casus severation of age and fraing group in growth in a constitution of a page and fraing group in growth in a constitution of the size. Requests a review of training and the policy and the casus severation of a page and fraing group in a require it to be re-submitted Oppose to the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of the surrounding amendment of								
154 2 Philip James McDermott Y V Oppose Amenity Seek amendment. modest density and extensive vegetation cover. Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure (General) Seek amendment. Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure (General) Seek amendment. Seek								Concerned about the revised mix of housing and the relationship
Fequests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure of alternative levels of presidential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating how costs will be met. Requests a credible assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporative into asset management and long term plans. 154 S Philip James McDermott V V V Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. 155 S Philip James McDermott V V V Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. 156 I Philip James McDermott V V V Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. 157 S Philip James McDermott V V V Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. 158 S Philip James McDermott V V V Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. 159 Seek amendment. 150 Seek amendment. 150 Seek amendment. 150 Seek amendment. 151 Seek amendment. 152 Seek amendment. 153 S Philip James McDermott V V Oppose Traffic / Roading Seek amendment. 155 Seek amendment. 156 Seek amendment. 157 Seek amendment. 158 Seek amendment. 158 Seek amendment. 159 Seek amendment. 150 Seek amendment								with the Mangawhai settlement and the character defined by
Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure of alternative levels of presidential provision and the inclusion of a policy infraiding plow costs with the metal provision and the inclusion of a policy infraiding plow costs with the metal provision and the inclusion of a policy infraiding plow costs with the development on infrastructure and incorporat into asset management and long term plans. Seek amendment. Seek ame	154	2 Philip James McDermott	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Seek amendment.	modest density and extensive vegetation cover.
of alternative levels of residential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating how cost, will be met. Requests a credible assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporat into asset management and long term plans. 154		·				·		-
of alternative levels of residential provision and the inclusion of a policy indicating how costs will be met. Requests a credible assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporat into asset management and long term plans. 154								Requests a review of impact on scale and costs of fixed infrastructure
Seek amendment. Seek amend								
assessment of the development on infrastructure and incorporal into asset management and long term plans. Seek amendment. Requests provision of green space in keeping with the character Managamia which may require more conservative density. Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Requests provision of green space in keeping with the character wangamia which may require more conservative density. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence oa walder range of age and family groups in the census evidence of the site. Table 1 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Traffic / Roading Seek amendment. Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive eview ITA taking into account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account future impact on account futur								
154 4 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) Seek amendment. Into asset management and long term plans. Requests provision of green space in keeping with the character Mangawhal which may require more conservative density. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence a suder range of age and family group in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence as used or range of age and family group in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence as used or range of age and family group in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence as used or range of age and family group in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence as used or range of age and family group in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence as used or range of age and family group in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive review it Taking into account future impact of a comprehensive review. It have not account the impact of the development of the presumited of the physical character of the site. Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted of the provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to be cell mit and comprehensive review it facility or course of the prescription for walking and cycling								
Seek amendment. Seek amendment. Requests provision of green space in keeping with the character Mangawhai which may require more conservative density. Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. Seek amendment. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence o a wider range of age and family groups in the character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of age and family groups in the character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of age and family groups in the character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of age and family groups in the character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of age and family groups in the character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of age and family groups in the character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of age and family groups in the physical character of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of revise new for the site. Requests a market based rational for matchin. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on side of the site. Requests a market based rational for matchin. Requests a market based rational for matchin. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence on a wider range of revised mix of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of the site. Requests a market based rational for revised mix of the site. Requests a mar	15/	4 Philip James McDermott	v	v	Onnose	Infrastructure (General)	Seek amendment	
Seek amendment. Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Mangawhai which may require more conservative density.	134	4 i milp sames webermote	· ·		Оррозе	initustructure (General)	Seek unterfament.	into asset management and long term plans.
Seek amendment. Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Mangawhai which may require more conservative density.								
Seek amendment. Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Mangawhai which may require more conservative density.								
Seek amendment. Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Mangawhai which may require more conservative density.								
Seek amendment. Y Y Oppose Open / Green Space Seek amendment. Mangawhai which may require more conservative density.								D
Requests a market based rational for revised mix of housing give the census evidence of a wider range of age and family groups in the census evidence of a wider range of age and family groups in the census evidence of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive review. ITA taking into account further impact on around Molesworth Drive. 154			.,	.,		0 10 0		
the census evidence o a wider range of age and family groups in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive review. ITA taking into account future impact on a round Molescape to the wider network includ comprehensive review. ITA taking into account future impact on a round Molescape to the wider network includ comprehensive review. ITA taking into account the impact of the development on the wider network includ comprehensive review. ITA taking into account future impact on a round Molescape to the site. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - fund research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan chang	154	5 Philip James McDermott	Y	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Seek amendment.	Mangawhai which may require more conservative density.
the census evidence o a wider range of age and family groups in growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive review ITA taking into account fluture impact on around Molescape and require it to be re-submitted philippa Muller N Y Oppose Amenity with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the								
154 1 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size Seek amendment. growth mix, and the physical character of the site. Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive review ITA taking into account future impact on around Molesworth Drive. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional and require it to be re-submitted of the plan change and require it to be re-submitted of the plan change and require it to be re-submitted of the plan change and require it to be re-submitted of the plan change and require it to be re-submitted of the plan change and req								
Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network includ comprehensive review ITA taking into account future impact on around Molesworth Drive. 153								
154 3 Philip James McDermott Y Y Y Oppose Traffic / Roading Seek amendment. 155 9 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Amenity with additional information. 156 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 157 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 158 6 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 159 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 150 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 150 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 150 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 150 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 150 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 151 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 152 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 153 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 154 Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 Oppose Open / Green Space With additional inform	154	1 Philip James McDermott	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Seek amendment.	
154 3 Philip James McDermott Y Y Oppose Traffic / Roading Seek amendment. 153 9 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Amenity Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 153 0 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted development on the surrounding amenity values. 153 0 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) With additional information. 153 4 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 153 4 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards With additional information. 154 Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. 155 6 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 155 6 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 156 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Open / Green Space With additional information. 157 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 158 Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. 159 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 159 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. 150 Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted wit								Requests a review of traffic impacts on the wider network including
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Amenity Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally proposed. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted flooding due to location on edge of estuary and with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted flooding due to location on edge of estuary and with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted flooding due to location on edge of estuary and with additional information. Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to esplanade research but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla flelds or courts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, 350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted declined to be re-submitted declined. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted declined to location on edge of estuary and the declined to location on edge of estuary and the declined to location on edge of estuary and the declined to location on edge of estuary and the declined to location on edge of estuary and the declined to location on edge of estuary and the declined to location on edge of estuary and								comprehensive review ITA taking into account future impact on and
153 9 Philippa Muller	154	3 Philip James McDermott	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Seek amendment.	around Molesworth Drive.
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted proposed. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furn research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted provision for which additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional i							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted proposed. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furn research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted provision for which is acceptable for the site. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla fields or courts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted.	153	9 Philippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
153 7 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Infrastructure (General) with additional information. proposed. Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - furl research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding due to location of eligibles threats. Provision for flooding due to location of eligibles to be search need to understand what is acceptable for esserch need to be resubmitted. A philippa Muller N Y Oppose Oppose With additional information. Becline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, and the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Becline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted M	153	7 Philippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted per possion for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla fields or courts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re								
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted per possion for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla fields or courts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
153 4 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Natural Hazards with additional information. research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted splanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. fields or counts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. fields or counts. fields or counts. fields or counts.							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	
Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,	153	4 Philippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards		
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, pla with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted fields or courts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,	133	Ti mippe mane.	- [Сррозс			·
153 6 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Open / Green Space with additional information. fields or courts. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information. Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,							Decline the plan change and require it to be so submitted	
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Waximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Waximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap, with additional information. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,	153	6 Philippa Muller	N	v	Onnoso	Open / Green Space	I : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
153 3 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Residential Allotment Size with additional information. 350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai. Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,	153	о Ришрра минет	IN	T	Oppose	Open / Green space		
Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,	450	2 Philippe Muller	NI.	v	0	Desidential Alletment Si-		
	153	3 Philippa Muller	IN	Y	Uppose	kesidentiai Allotment Size	with auditional information.	5501112 IS 100 Smail and Will ruin character of Mangawhai.
153 5 Philippa Muller N Y Oppose Stormwater with additional information. considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.	153	5 Philippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.

		,				1		
								Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
153	1 P	hilippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
153	2 P	hilippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
153	8 P	hilippa Muller	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
76	7 P	hillip Murray	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
								Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
76	4 P	Phillip Murray	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
								Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
76	6 P	Phillip Murray	Y	Υ	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
,,,		paray			occi, menament	орен у отеен ореес		Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
76	3 P	Phillip Murray	Y	Υ	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
,,,	<u> </u>	······p ····a···ay			Seek Amenament	nesidential / motine it size	With duditional information.	550m2 is too small and will tall character of mangawitan
							Dealing the plan shape and are size it to be an exhausted	Consequence of the state of the
7.0		N - 11 - A - A		.,				Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
76	5 P	Phillip Murray	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
								Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
								supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
								connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
76	1 P	Phillip Murray	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
76	2 P	hillip Murray	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
								changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
76	8 P	hillip Murray	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
114	1 P	Prasado Struab	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	None stated.
						_		
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Supports retirement facility but seeks more detail regarding the
71	8 R	tachael Williams	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Community facilities	with additional information.	number of units, when it will be available and if it will be a franchise.
								Requires more information pertaining to business and service zones
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	and how education facilities are provided for. Provision needs to be
71	6 R	Rachael Williams	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land		made for schools as current ones are at capacity.
, ,					- p.p. /***		Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Opposes the change to greenspace - inadequate provision for
71	5 R	Rachael Williams	Y	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	recreation. More needs to be allocated.
/1	ع اد	action williams			Oppose	open / Green space	additional information.	resileation, World needs to be anotated.

			1	T.			T	
71	4	Rachael Williams	Y	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size		Maximum number of lots not stated - original proposal included a cap, requests this to be clearly stated. Concerned with the densities for specific zones and potential yield. Seeks clarification as to what density is applied to Integrated Residential Overlay. Potential change in dwelling numbers does not fit well with Mangawhai, or align with the Mangawhai Community Plan.
71	2	Rachael Williams	v	v	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Opposes stormwater proposal and any other activity in the wetland area. Reliance of wetland for and stream network for stormwater discharge is outdated engineering. Stormwater activity must not be detrimental to the wetlands. Concerned with change in activity status.
/1	3	Raciidei Williams	Ť	T	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	status.
71	1	Rachael Williams	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose connection to KDC treatment plant, concerned with lack of detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, no evidence to suggest the system has capacity. Requires more detail and further consultation with ratepayers.
74		Seekee I Williams	V	V			Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose reliance on aquifer, proposal does not adequately provide for freshwater further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood. NRC need more robust before granting consent for additional usage. Concerned about fire waster supply and impacts of drought. Ground water should not be relied upon, tanks should be
71	2	Rachael Williams	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	required.
71	7	Rachael Williams	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	ordinated development and that contribution is made for the share of growth related infrastructure costs. Asks that 16.3.10 be retained to protect ratepayers. Wants confirmation that development
21	,	Daguera Dadd	NI.	N	0,,,,,,,	Community Facilities	Decline the plan shapes	Questions what has happened to the retirement home, supermarket
21	3	Raewyn Dodd	IN	N	Oppose	Community Facilities	Decline the plan change.	and college.
21	6	Raewyn Dodd	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	Questions how infrastructure including shopping centres and car parks will cope with and extra 1000+ houses, particularly in summer. Concerned with the limited green/open spaces and lack of Queens Chain. Questions how Reserves, beaches and parks will cope with
21	2	Raewyn Dodd	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	increased numbers, particularly in summer.
21	4	Raewyn Dodd	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Concerned with 'super high' density.
21	1	Raewyn Dodd	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned with the adverse effects on the estuary.
21	5	Raewyn Dodd	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that local aquifer will be over-taxed.
		,			1977	,	3. 3. 3. 4. 4. 5. 5.	Concerned about flooding. Area is low lying 3m leaves little scope for
194	4	Raewyn Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	Decline the plan change.	combined events (high tide/high rainfall) - further research required.
194	5	Raewyn Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about stormwater and increased siltation and contamination of the harbour.
194	2	Raewyn Torrie	N	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about demand on wastewater system and potential costs to ratepayers. Lack of evidence to confirm the system has capacity. Applicant should provide own scheme.
194		Raewyn Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply		Oppose the change to water supply provision. NRC consent is for a finite amount of water and no predicted usage for development. Rainwater harvesting not reliable and susceptible to drought. Concerned about impact on emergency supply for Mangawhai.
194		Raewyn Torrie	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change		Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
53	2	Ray Crocker	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that rate payers have not been told the truth or given appropriate notification.
53	2	Ray Crocker	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	The proposal will put too much pressure on the existing infrastructure

1				T	1	Thinks the original housing plan that was agreed to should be used as
53	1 Ray Crocker	N N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	not as dense.
33	I Nay Crocker	IN IN	Орроѕе	Residential Allotthent Size	becline the plan change.	The proposal will adversely affect Te Ao Maori and will affect Atua
						Maori in particular. The mana of the land will be diminished and spoi
139	1 Renata Blair	N N	Oppose	Cultural	Decline the plan change.	the sacredness of Mangawhai.
135	I Keliata Biali	T IN	Орроѕе	Cultural	Decline the plan change.	Concerned with the increased traffic and the effects on the
139	3 Renata Blair	Y N	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	environment.
139	2 Renata Blair	Y N		Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Increase in wastewater will have huge effect on the taiao.
159	Z Reliata Biali	Y IN	Oppose	wastewater	Decline the plan change.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						The proposal supports conflicts between a growing town needs and
_		l., l.,	_	141 L BL GL		providing economic opportunities which will benefit the overall
3	1 Richard Percy	N N	Support	Whole Plan Change	Approve the plan change.	community.
						Oppose the replacement of the green network overlay with the new
						natural environment subzone and reduced greenspace. Native bush
178	Richard Smith	у	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	and wetlands should be protected.
						Oppose residential policy 16.3.6.1 increase residential units.
178	Richard Smith	у Ү	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about lack of cap and potential strain on infrastructure.
						oppose the change in the storm water management in the plan
						change there is no details to suggest how the stormwater will be
178	Richard Smith	y Y	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	successfully managed, particularly given low lying flood prone area.
						POLICIES16.3.11.1 to include the mandatory catchment of all rain
						water off every roof within the subdivision (both business and
178	Richard Smith	l _v l _Y	Oppose	Subdivision	Decline the plan change.	residential). This would also reduce stormwater.
		(- P. P.			
						I oppose policies 16.3.9.14 The policy that required that all
						wastewater system be connected to the councils existing waste
						water. Treatment system. Current system is at capacity, concerned
178	Richard Smith	l, l	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	community will have to foot the bill. Applicant should provide it.
170	Michard Smith	y '	Оррозе	wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Oppose the use of the aquifer, concerned about capacity and effects
178	Richard Smith	l, l,	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	on existing users.
1/8	Ricilaiu Sillitii	у	Орроѕе	water Supply	Decline the plan change.	on existing users.
						groons and lands oning will not avoid and a unto amonity
101	0.0-6.0.04		0	A	Dealine the along house	greenspace and landscaping will not provide adequate amenity,
184	9 Rob & Mary Farmer	Y	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	Pedestrian links to amenities and open spaces are insufficient.
						Increased density will have significant effect on facilities and result in
		L. L.				higher and faster transmission of diseases. Health facilities are all
184	4 Rob & Mary Farmer	Y	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	ready under pressure.
						Already s shortage - reduction for residential land will limit future
						employment opportunities locally. Commercial land should not be
184	5 Rob & Mary Farmer	Y	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	Decline the plan change.	reduced.
						Increase in size of Subzone 7 I oppose the proposed plan change it
						extends the light industry zone that abuts existing residential by 7-
						10%. This is unnecessary. Was originally childcare - this should be
184	10 Rob & Mary Farmer	Υ	Oppose	Industrial / Commercial Land	Decline the plan change.	retained.
						Concerned about the reduction of green space, recreational areas
						and landscaping. Proposed is not sufficient for the intended
						population. Oppose the replacement of green network overlap with
						a new natural environment subzone. Should still be structural
						planting and use of large scale species to reinforce overall frameworl
184	8 Rob & Mary Farmer	y y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	of a Parkland Community.
184	2 Rob & Mary Farmer	Y Y	Oppose	Parking	Decline the plan change.	Landscaping and short term parking is being compromised.
104	2,	- ' -	оррозс			Density is a significant increase and will have significant effects on
184	3 Rob & Mary Farmer	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Mangawhai.
104	3 NOD & IVIALY FAITHER	T T	Oppose	Residential Allounient Size	became the plan change.	Road scape amenity as provided in the DP and Structure pan is being
104	1 Dah 9 Manu Farm	V V	0,000	Troffic / Dooding	Decline the plan shange	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
184	1 Rob & Mary Farmer	Y	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	Decline the plan change.	diminished.
						structure plan should provide sufficient treatment. Each title should
184						be required to pay the same connection fee to wastewater - no
	6 Rob & Mary Farmer	Y Y	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	exemption. Water quality od discharged wastewater should comply

					ſ		
							Water supply is a critical issue, the existing proposal is not acceptable. The bore is insufficient to provide demand. The applicant should provide a reticulated supply that is sufficient throughout the year. Requiring sprinkles for residential buildings would reduce the
184	7 Rob & Mary Farmer	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	amount of water required to be set aside for fire fighting supply.
12	4 Rob Cameron	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	None stated.
12	2 Rob Cameron	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	None stated.
12	1 Rob Cameron			0	St	Changes made to protect Mangawhai Harbour water	Mangawhai is a treasure and needs to be developed in a way that
12	3 Rob Cameron	N	N	Oppose Oppose	Stormwater Wastewater	quality. None stated.	looks after the environment and keeps it a special place. None stated.
12	3 Nob Carreron		IN .	Орроѕе	wastewater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
54	9 Robin Hale	у	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
54	7 Robin Hale	У	Υ	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
54	4 Robin Hale	у	Υ	Oppose	Natural Hazards	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
						Dealing the release have a real near that he are subscitted a	Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
54	6 Robin Hale	v	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing fields or courts.
34	0 Noom Hale	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>'</u>	Оррозе	open / Green space	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
54	3 Robin Hale	у	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
					_		Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
54	5 Robin Hale	У	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this. Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
54	1 Robin Hale	у	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater treatment.
54	2 Robin Hale	У	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks, further information required that considered effects of climate change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
54	8 Robin Hale	у	Y	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
75	1 Robin Walters	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	None stated.
24	6 Roger & Megan Kendall	v	v	Oppose	Natural Hazards	None stated.	Concerned with increased flooding into the barbour
24	6 Koger & Megan Kendali	Y	Y	Oppose	Naturai Hazards	inone stated.	Concerned with increased flooding into the harbour. Opposes the reduction of proposed green space, and lack of riparian
24	2 Roger & Megan Kendall	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	reserve/queens chain.
	5 1 39 1 1 1 1						
24	1 Roger & Megan Kendall	Y	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	A full review of the proposal to slow down the rate at which housing is released.	Opposes the change to the number of houses able to be built and the minimal site requirements.
24	I Noger & Megan Kendan	T'	<u>'</u>	Оррозс	nesidential Allounient Size	The state of the s	Opposes the increased stormwater and it being piped into the
24	3 Roger & Megan Kendall	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Stormwater	None stated.	harbour with no retention of pollution.

		1	1	1			
							Concerned with 1500+ waste going into already maxed wastewater
24	5 Roger & Megan Kendall	v	v	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	plant.
	5 noger a megan nemaan	<u> </u>	·	оррозс	Waste Water	None stated.	Concerned with up to 100,000L a day being taken from the aqueduct
24	4 Roger & Megan Kendall	Υ	Y	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	given that it was nearly dry in 2020.
	i noger a megan kenaan	· ·	T.	оррозс	писс. вирр.у	None stated.	given that it was nearly ary in 2020.
							Concerned that connection to the wastewater system will overload
							it, applicant should provide their own system. Lack of detail re
190	1 Roger Bull	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	number of connection and no provision for capital costs.
	3						Oppose the changes to provision of water, not sufficient. In respect
							of the aquifer, figures provided don't take into account drought.
							Concerned about effects on consent holders and minimum detail
190	2 Roger Bull	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	provided.
						Change zoning of area 3D from 1000m2 to a rural zoning	Concerned about impact on wetland area which are habitat to
31	2 Ross Hill	N	N	Oppose	Ecology	as per earlier proposal and protect wetland area.	endangered bird species such as the Bitten.
					9,	Change zoning of area 3D from 1000m2 to a rural zoning	Current zoning will relate in too big of a population and result in
31	3 Ross Hill	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	as per earlier proposal.	pressures on roading, water supply and sewage.
					,	Change zoning of area 3D from 1000m2 to a rural zoning	
31	1 Ross Hill	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	as per earlier proposal.	Current zoning will relate in to big of a population.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
73	9 Ross Hinton	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Amenity	with additional information.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally
73	7 Ross Hinton	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	with additional information.	proposed.
							Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
73	4 Ross Hinton	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site.
							Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
							esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing
73	6 Ross Hinton	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	fields or courts.
							Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
73	3 Ross Hinton	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
70		.,	.,				Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
73	5 Ross Hinton	Y	Y	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
							Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
							supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
73	1 Ross Hinton	v	v	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	with additional information.	treatment.
/3	1 11033 111111011	'		Seek Amendment	wastewater	with additional information.	u eatment.
							Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	further information required that considered effects of climate
73	2 Ross Hinton	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
,,,	Z Noss rimton	·		Seek Amenament	тисс. зарр.у	With additional information.	change on addition so cheeks on existing users can be understood.
							Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed
							changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
							that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
							and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert
						Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
73	8 Ross Hinton	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight.
							Application should be declined due to the Tsunami zone and the
14	3 Ryan Vujcich	N	N	Oppose	Natural Hazards	Decline the plan change.	proximity to the Mangawhai Estuary.
							Application should be declined due to ongoing issues with
14	1 Ryan Vujcich	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	stormwater.
							Application should be declined due to ongoing issues with
14	2 Ryan Vujcich	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	wastewater.
1							Wetland needs huge consideration. I back onto the central, I have
							beautiful covenant wetlands where I have eel and bitten and no
96	1 S & G Hockenhull	N	У	seek amendment	Ecology	Increase wetland requirements.	native.

96	2 S & G Hockenhull	l _N	v	seek amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Restrictions regarding block size.	Blocks need to be bigger.
96	4 S & G Hockenhull	N	V	seek amendment	Traffic / Roading	Restrictions regarding block size. Restrictions regarding roading.	Roading can't sustain development - needs looking into.
96	3 S & G Hockenhull	N	v	seek amendment	Water Supply	Restrictions regarding water.	Water can't sustain the development - needs looking into.
96	5 5 & G HOCKETHUII	IN	У	seek amenument	water supply	Restrictions regarding water.	water can't sustain the development - needs looking into.
							Requests an open and transparent conversation with Council with no
186	5 Sally & Richard Wood	N	v	Oppose	Consultation	None stated.	redactions or closed meetings.
186	4 Sally & Richard Wood	N	v	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Wants access to the track around the development.
186	2 Sally & Richard Wood	N	v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	None stated.	Concerned with the extra housing.
100	2 Sally & McHard Wood	IV .	<u> </u>	Оррозе	Residential Allotthent Size	None stated.	Concerned that the applicant is connecting to existing system.
							Ratepayers had been assured by Andrew Guest that they would be
186	1 Sally & Richard Wood	N	v	Onnese	Wastewater	More discussion with ratepayers.	providing their own.
100	1 Sally & Richard Wood	IN	<u>'</u>	Oppose	wastewater	iviore discussion with ratepayers.	providing their own.
186	3 Sally & Richard Wood	N	V	Onnese	Matar Cumphy	None stated.	Concerned where the additional water supply will be coming from
100	3 Sally & Richard Wood	IN	T	Oppose	Water Supply	None Stateu.	Concerned where the additional water supply will be coming from.
	C Community Manager	l.,			Caranda atian	Dealine the alexades as	Concerned about failure in transparency on this subject with the
6	6 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	general public.
							Questioning where the developer intents to get power for the
6	4 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Electricity Supply	Decline the plan change.	proposed development if not from Maungaturoto.
							Concerned regarding the failure to allocate riparian rights / queens
							chain for public access and buffer zone and lack of divide between
6	7 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	development and estuary.
							350m2 sections is too small where will two water tanks fit or
6	2 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	individual septic tank systems.
							Increased residential sections (1000+/- houses) will consistently flood
6	5 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	and pollute surrounding waterways with runoff.
							Concerned about putting more strain on the wastewater system that
6	3 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	is already near capacity.
							Access to the local aquifer will not cope with the 2019/20 drought
							and will not be able to support the commercial / residential
							development in the plan change. Breaching sandstone in
							development of drainage systems could contaminate water source
6	1 Samantha Wood	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	for this development and surrounding properties.
				- P.P.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Would like further and more transparent consultation for rate
30	6 Sandie Souter	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Further consultation.	payers.
30	4 Sandie Souter	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Increased public space.	Opposes decreased open space.
30	3 Sandie Souter	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decreased number of houses.	Opposes increased number of houses.
50	5 Sarraic Souter		-	Оррозс	nesidential / motificité size	Decreased named of nouses.	opposes mercased named of nouses.
							Concerned potential traffic flow problems arising from entrance and
30	5 Sandie Souter	N	N	Onnoco	Traffic / Roading	None stated.	exit onto Molesworth Drive, not cleared what is being proposed.
30	5 Sandle Souter	IN	IN	Oppose	Tranic / Roading	None Stateu.	exit onto Molesworth Drive, not cleared what is being proposed.
			1				
							Concerned with impact on recreational uses, not clear what is being
30	2 Sandie Souter	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Council to protect estuary from wastewater.	proposed.
							Concerned with impact on underground water supply and effects on
30	1 Sandie Souter	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Applicant to supply own water storage supply.	current users.
					· ·	_ ```	
			1				There is no up-to-date structure plan for Mangawhai. There has been
			1				on proper sequencing for provision of infrastructure.Inadequate
							assessment of need and demand for additional housing the area.Loss
							of green space. Mangawhai not set up to cope with increase in
208	1 Sandy Morrison	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	population, le, schools, libraries, medical etc.
200	Januy Wornson	IN .	+'*	Оррозе	whole riali change	became the plan change.	Increase in scale of development will have adverse effects on the
39	2 Sarah Biggs	N	N	Onnose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	beach and estuary.
39	2 Sarah Biggs	IN	I'N	Oppose	Ecology	became the plan change.	Increase in scale of development will change Mangawhai more that
30	1 Sarah Biggs	N	l _N	00000	Posidontial Allatmont S'	Decline the plan change	
39	1 Sarah Biggs	N	IN	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	what was intended by the original plan.

T		1					Concerned that the community facilities have been removed from
18	7 Sascha Tschirky	N	Υ	Oppose	Community Facilities	Decline the plan change.	the proposal.
							Concerned about reduction and removal or proposed green space
18	2 Sascha Tschirky	N	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	and walkways, and that no 'Queens Chain' has been put aside.
							Already too many houses for the towns infrastructure. Concerned
18	1 Sascha Tschirky	N	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	with the minimal site requirements per section.
				_			Concerned that the stormwater will be piped into the harbour with
18	3 Sascha Tschirky	N	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	no treatment. Should be stormwater ponds.
10	4 Sascha Tschirky	N	v	0,,,,,,	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that the stormwater will be piped into the harbour with no treatment. Should be stormwater ponds.
18	4 Sascila Iscilliky	IN	1	Oppose	Storniwater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned that the water dams have been removed and that the
18	5 Sascha Tschirky	N	v	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	bore will run out.
10	5 Suscina Tserinky	- 1	i	Оррозс	water suppry	became the plan change.	Oppose the plan change as a number of things are being withdrawn
							that are the only reason the development was accepted in the first
							instance putting even more environmental stress on Mangawhai if
18	6 Sascha Tschirky	N	Υ	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Decline the plan change.	accepted.
11	1 Scott Wightman	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	None stated.
							Oppose increase in density in zone 3D not what was original y
						Reduce lot size on the common boundary to what was	anticipated ad will have a detrimental effect on K Platts property
						originally proposed. Have a no complaints consent notice	16.8.2.5 max height allowable, concerned about impact on their
						registered on titles that fall within 100m of the common	property - much higher than what was originally anticipated. Don't
199	1 Shane Cullen	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	boundary.	believe effects on this property have been properly addressed.
							Concerned about the effect of water extraction on the water table.
199	2 Shane Cullen	Y	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	Review total water take from groundwater.	Concerned about effects on the water supply they currently use for
							Concerned that the proposal hasn't considered the capacity of the
							bridge on Molesworth Drive, concerned with the increased use resulting form the proposal. Would like to see this addressed
							appropriately to include consideration of holiday and supermarket
							traffic and comment from NTA. Concerned that no arterial routes
							through Old Waou into the Cove have been proposed given the
						Seek amendment to include arterial road and further	increased traffic. One way in and out proposed - this should be re-
149	1 Sharon Martin	Y	Y	Oppose	Traffic / Roading	consideration of increased traffic to be generated.	addressed.
					, 5		
							By enabling flexibility in the sub zone provisions so as to respond to
120	2 Sherryll Burke	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Seek amendment.	changes I the community needs for recreation and leisure trends.
							Number of residential allotments not stated. Chapter 16 states no
120	4 Sherryll Burke	N	N	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Seek amendment.	more that 500 but this is being removed. Needs to be a cap.
							oppose the proposed plan change because: - it does not include any
							provisions for re and green spaces. Oppose removal of provision
							from 16.3.1.1(3) & 16.3.5.1. Require Village Green (Map 5) to be
120	1 Sherryll Burke	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	and 16.3.1.1 and 16.3.5.1	vested in Council.
455	o Characill Decides	.			Basidantial Allatus (C)	Cook amondment	Number of residential allotments not stated. Chapter 16 states no
120	3 Sherryll Burke	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Seek amendment.	more that 500 but this is being removed. Needs to be a cap.
							High density housing is not suitable for community water supply,
120	4 Sherryll Burke	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Seek amendment.	impact on other users not considered, especially during drought.
120	4 Sherryii burke	IN	IN	Oppose	water suppry	Changes should not be allowed until consultation is made	Consultation has been inadequate and appears to be rushed
26	4 Simon Hardley	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	and community support achieved.	through by Council.
20	4 Silion Haraicy	- 1		Оррозс	Constitution	Changes should not be allowed until consultation is made	
26	1 Simon Hardley	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	and community support achieved.	Concerned with pollution of the estuary from stormwater run off.
<u> </u>		1				A STREET STATES	Existing sewage infrastructure is insufficient to cop with this number
		1				Changes should not be allowed until consultation is made	
26	2 Simon Hardley	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	and community support achieved.	increased to cover this.
Ī						Changes should not be allowed until consultation is made	Concerned that there are insufficient water resources to cope with
26	3 Simon Hardley	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	and community support achieved.	this number of additional residential dwellings.

							T	Pressure on community facilities (doctors schools, facilities) will be
								too much. Concerned that the applicant is no longer proposing any.
								Requests comprehensive plan as to how the community facilities will
140	4 5+	ephanie Gibson	N	N	Oppose	Community facilities	Decline the plan change.	cope.
140		ephanie Gibson	N	N	Oppose	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	Requests transparency from Council.
140	7 30	epitatile dibsott	IN	IN	Оррозе	Consultation	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about impact on estuary from run off with development
								being so close. Requests comprehensive plan as to how impacts on
140	6 64	ephanie Gibson	N	NI.	Oppose	Ecology	Decline the plan change.	the environment will be reduced.
140		ephanie Gibson	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Gum diggers track needs to stay open to the public.
140	3 30	epitatile dibsott	IN	IN	Оррозе	Open / Green space	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about the number of houses, 1000 . Should be no more
140	2 5+	ephanie Gibson	N	N	0,,,,,,,	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	than 300-500 houses with larger sections.
140	3 30	epilarile dibsori	IN .	iv .	Oppose	Residential Allotthent Size	Decline the plan change.	Opposes connection to current wastewater system as the system
								doesn't have capacity. Should be depending on on-site disposal.
140	1 5+	ephanie Gibson	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about costs to ratepayers.
140	1 30	epitatile dibsott	IN	IN	Оррозе	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	Opposes water take from bore, already under pressure especially in
140	2 5+	ephanie Gibson	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	drought. Houses should have their own tanks.
140	2 30	ернаше спозоп	14	IN .	Oppose	water Supply	Publicise what has been granted and additional public	Mangawhai is a coastal rural community and want to keep it that
85	6 5.	ue Clayton	v	v	Oppose	Amenity	consultation.	
85	0 30	de Clayton	Y	T	Oppose	Amenity	consultation.	way. Not enough notice, some residents received notification others
							Dublisiss what has been granted and additional public	9 ,
0.5	216.	Clautan	v	v	0	Communitation	Publicise what has been granted and additional public	didn't - effects everyone. Need more transparency and more
85	2 50	ue Clayton	Y	Y	Oppose	Consultation	consultation.	community meetings involving council.
85	216.	Clautan	v	v	0	0 / 6 5	Publicise what has been granted and additional public	Must be adequate parks and facilities other than cycle and walkways
85	3 50	ue Clayton	Y	Y	Oppose	Open / Green Space	consultation.	to support the community.
0.5	7.0	al .	.,	.,			Publicise what has been granted and additional public	250 0
85	/ St	ue Clayton	Y	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	consultation.	350m2 too small, multi story buildings should be prohibited.
							Dublisis what has been seemed and additional multi-	Development is close to harbour and estuary, should be no discharge
0.5		Clautan	v	v		Ct	Publicise what has been granted and additional public	from construction and consider adequate must be protection from
85	5 50	ue Clayton	Y	Y	Oppose	Stormwater	consultation.	flooding.
0.5			.,	.,			Publicise what has been granted and additional public	servicing should be undertaken by the developer, need to ensure no
85	1 50	ue Clayton	Y	Y	Oppose	Wastewater	consultation.	run off into harbour.
							Publicise what has been granted and additional public	Aquifer is not infinite, needs to be another source such as rainwater
85	4 Su	ue Clayton	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	consultation.	harvest.
						L		Does not adequately take into account the impact of the
163	4 St	ue Fountain	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change.	development on the surrounding amenity values.
	_					_ ,		Concerned about reduction of open space and lack of recreational
163	5 St	ue Fountain	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	facilities.
			l.,	.,		B		Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
163	3 St	ue Fountain	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.
	1		I					Oppose connection to KDC treatment plan, applicant should have to
	1		I					supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
	1		I					connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be
	1		I					undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
163	1 St	ue Fountain	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	treatment.
			1					
			1					Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
	1		I					further information required that considered effects of climate
163	2 Su	ue Fountain	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
			1					Concerned with 16.1 deletion and sever edited regarding
			1					connectivity and green space, questions where will all the
			1					inhabitants find their recreational activities without getting in their
	1		I			l		vehicles. Seeks a revision of the clauses regarding open space and
137	Su	usan Rowbotham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Seek amendment.	interconnectivity.

			1					
137		Susan Rowbotham	Υ	Y	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size		16.14 and 16.8.22 density tables set limits at 500 however the changes could result in 1000-1400. Minimum section size should be no lower than 500m2 except for retirement village which should be surrounded by plenty of green space. Requests amendment to density table 16.8.22 especially subzone 3A.
137		Susan Rowbotham	Υ	Υ	Oppose	Wastewater	Seek amendment.	Confirmation of residential allotments and further reporting required to confirm if wastewater system can cope with increase.
34	1	Suzanne Cameron	Y	Υ	Seek Amendment	Ecology		Requests protection of the Tara estuary by ensuring the existing protections remain and increasing the protections under section 16.3, requests ongoing monitoring to ensure estuary is safe for recreation activities, wildlife and harvesting of kai.
34	2	Suzanne Cameron	Υ	Y	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	Amend to require treatment of stormwater.	Requests that Council ensures stormwater is treated before entering any waterways including during the earthmoving and construction phase.
3.				:	Seek / international			
16	5	Thomas Williams	N	N	Oppose	Parking	Consideration as to whether there is a need for this size of development.	Considers that existing parking is insufficient and that planned parking extensions should be included.
16	1	Thomas Williams	N	N	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Clear information regarding number of dwellings.	Concerned with the number of proposed sections and the need for such a large scale subdivision.
16		The same Melliness	N.		0	Chamman	Clear information regarding where stormwater is being	Concerned about where all of the stormwater generated from the
16	4	Thomas Williams	N	N	Oppose	Stormwater	directed and the expected volumes.	planned area of build will be directed.
16	2	Thomas Williams	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	are they proposing a new wastewater system?	Concerned about where wastewater will be treated as the existing wastewater treatment plant is at capacity.
								Concerned about how reticulated water will be supplied and what
16	3	Thomas Williams	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	cater for climate change.	back up is proposed given the likely hood of increased droughts.
16	6	Thomas Williams	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	Further information.	Need further information to measure the benefits against the negative outcomes.
108		Tim Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Open / Green Space	None stated.	Loss of riparian areas is not supported.
		•						Low lying development, and should not be connected to already
108	1	Tim Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Wastewater	None stated.	overloaded system.
108	2	Tim Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Water Supply	None stated.	No provision for additional water supply.
								Lack of strategic approach for Mangawhai need to maintain the identity and take holistic approach. KDC is not representing
108	4	Tim Taylor	N	N	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	None stated.	Mangawhai interests.
167	9	Tony Baker	у	Υ	Oppose	Amenity	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Does not adequately take into account the impact of the development on the surrounding amenity values.
167	7	Tony Baker	у	Y	Oppose	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Oppose the removal Solar energy network as was originally proposed.
167	4	Tony Paker		v	Onnoco	Notural Hayards	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	Concerned about flooding due to location on edge of estuary and climate change threats. Provision for flooding not adequate - further
167	4	Tony Baker	У	T	Oppose	Natural Hazards	with additional information.	research need to understand what is acceptable for the site. Inadequate provision for walking and cycling tracks. Refers to
167	6	Tony Baker	у	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	with additional information.	esplanade reserve but not part of the proposal nor are parks, playing fields or courts.
						B 11 11 14 11 1 1 2 2		Maximum number of lots not stated - there needs to be a cap,
167	3	Tony Baker	У	ΙΥ	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	with additional information.	350m2 is too small and will ruin character of Mangawhai.

		ı	1	1	1	1	T	
							Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted	Concerned about the discharge of sediment into the harbour,
167	5	Tony Baker	v	v	Oppose	Stormwater	with additional information.	considered there to be inadequate provisions to manage this.
107		Tony Baker	y		Оррозс	Stormwater	with additional information.	Tr
							Dealing the selection of the selection o	supply own facility. Concerned with lack of detail re number of
167		Tony Baker		v	0	Mastawator	with additional information.	connections and volume of discharge, further consultation should be undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
167	1	топу вакег	У	T	Oppose	Wastewater	with additional information.	undertaken with ratepayers before agreement to wastewater
								Oppose reliance on aquifer, properties should have rainwater tanks,
								further information required that considered effects of climate
167	2	Tony Baker		v	0,,,,,,	Mater Cumply	with additional information.	change on aquifer so effects on existing users can be understood.
167		топу вакег	У	T	Oppose	Water Supply	with additional information.	<u> </u>
								Opposes the proposed plan change, in respect of the proposed changes to the consenting process. Balance must be maintained so
								= :
								that standards of construction and not prejudiced. Th relevant rules
								and proposed changes should be assessed by an independent expert to ensure that there is a balance between the desire to simplify
167		Tony Baker		v	0,,,,,,	Whole Dien Change	Decline the plan change and require it to be re-submitted with additional information.	· ·
167	8	TOTTY DAKET	У	'	Oppose	Whole Plan Change	with additional information.	consent costs and the ned for KDC to provide oversight. Of particular concern is the proposal to abandon the wetlands for
				1				soakage between the developed areas and the estuary so water can
183		Trewby & Rosemary Bull	N	V	seek amendment	Ecology	Due consideration to these matters.	= :
183		newby & Rusemary buil	IN	'	seek dilleliument	Ecology	Due consideration to these matters.	flow straight through to the harbour.
								2) Also rumour has it that the Queen's chain is to be ignored in the
								subdivision. Mangawhai has several examples where this has
183		Trewby & Rosemary Bull	N	Υ	seek amendment	Open / Green Space	Due consideration to these matters.	happened, detrimental effect on the long term benefit of the town.
								encouraging to see and hear the proposals which have been
183		Trewby & Rosemary Bull	N	Υ	seek amendment	Whole Plan Change	Due consideration to these matters.	announced for the development of this area.
45	5	Vivienne Martens	N	N	Seek Amendment	Infrastructure (General)	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about the limitation of existing infrastructure.
								Questions if there will be walking tracks around the estuary as there
45	4	Vivienne Martens	N	N	Seek Amendment	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	was one until gum diggers track was closed.
45	1	Vivienne Martens	N	N	Seek Amendment	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	The high density of housing is not in keeping with the seaside town.
45	3	Vivienne Martens	N	N	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about stormwater run of into the estuary.
								Concerned about wastewater system capacity and questions if
45	3	Vivienne Martens	N	N	Seek Amendment	Wastewater	Decline the plan change.	developers will be contributing to an upgrade.
								Opposes the reliance on underground natural water supply instead
				1				of water tanks for each property, concerned about droughts
45	2	Vivienne Martens	N	N	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	becoming more common.
							Amend application to require Roof collection for water	Roof top catchment will help to relieve the volume of stormwater
29	3	Wendy Sheffield	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Stormwater	supply.	entering the estuary.
							Amend application to require second road access on the	
				1			western boundary towards Old Waipu Road to allow for	
				1			Auckland (via new Te Hana motorway extension) and	
				1			Mangawhai North traffic without having to use	Concerned with impact on traffic between Mangawhai Village and
29	1	Wendy Sheffield	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Traffic / Roading	Molesworth Drive.	Mangawhai Heads.
							Amend application to require Roof collection for water	
29	2	Wendy Sheffield	Υ	Υ	Seek Amendment	Water Supply	supply.	Concerned with impact om water supply.
150	4	William Keith Draper	N	Υ	Oppose	Open / Green Space	Decline the plan change.	Concerned with lack of open space such as parks and playing fields.
		·				·		
				1				
				1				
				1				
150	1	William Keith Draper	N	l _v	Oppose	Residential Allotment Size	Decline the plan change.	Concerned about the number of residential sections.
		······a··· Keitii Diapei	1	1.	oppose		pecame are plan change.	constant about the number of residential sections.

150	2)	William Keith Draper	N	v	Onnose	Wastewater		concerned that the wastewater system is inadequate to cope with increased housing.
150	2	William Keith Draper	IN	Y	Oppose	wastewater	Decline the plan change.	increased nousing.
150	3	William Keith Draper	N	Υ	Oppose	Water Supply	Decline the plan change.	Considers that there is inadequate provision of water supply.